arXiv:1401.2272v2 [math.ST] 25 Jul 2015

Functional stable limit theorems for quasi-efficient spectral covolatility estimators

Randolf Altmeyer* & Markus Bibinger*

Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

We consider noisy non-synchronous discrete observations of a continuous semimartingale with random volatility. Functional stable central limit theorems are established under high-frequency asymptotics in three setups: one-dimensional for the spectral estimator of integrated volatility, from two-dimensional asynchronous observations for a bivariate spectral covolatility estimator and multivariate for a local method of moments. The results demonstrate that local adaptivity and smoothing noise dilution in the Fourier domain facilitate substantial efficiency gains compared to previous approaches. In particular, the derived asymptotic variances coincide with the benchmarks of semiparametric Cramér-Rao lower bounds and the considered estimators are thus asymptotically efficient in idealized subexperiments. Feasible central limit theorems allowing for confidence bounds are provided.

Keywords: adaptive estimation, asymptotic efficiency, local parametric estimation, microstructure noise, integrated volatility, non-synchronous observations

AMS 2000 subject classification: 62G05, 62G20, 62M10

1. Introduction

The estimation of integrated volatility and integrated covolatility (sometimes referred to as integrated variance and covariance) from high-frequency data is a vibrant current research topic in statistics for stochastic processes. Semimartingale log-price models are widely used in financial econometrics. Its pivotal role for portfolio optimization and risk management makes the integrated covolatility matrix a key quantity of interest in econometrics and finance. During the last decade the increasing availability of data from high-frequency trades or orders has provided the statistician with rich data sets. Yet, the consequence of this richness of data is double-edged. On the one hand, high-frequency observations are almost close to continuous-time observations which should allow for a very precise and highly efficient semiparametric estimation of integrated covolatilities. On the other hand, it has turned out that a traditional pure semimartingale model has several limitations in describing stylized facts of high-frequency data and therefore does not afford a suitable risk estimation. It is nowadays well-known that effects ascribed to market microstructure frictions interfere at high frequencies with a latent price evolution that can be appropriately described by a semimartingale. Also, non-synchronous

*Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via SFB 649 'Ökonomisches Risiko', Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, is gratefully acknowledged. R.A. gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the DFG Research Training Group 1845.
The authors are grateful to two referees whose constructive valuable remarks helped improving the first version of the paper considerably.

observations in a multi-dimensional setup require a thorough handling or can cause unwanted effects.

A prominent model that accounts for market microstructure in high-frequency observations is an additive noise model, in which a continuous semimartingale is observed with i.i.d. observation errors. The problem of estimating the integrated volatility in the one-dimensional model with noise, as well as multi-dimensional covolatility matrix estimation from *noisy and non-synchronous* observations, have attracted a lot of attention in recent years and stimulated numerous research contributions from different areas. Apart from the importance for applications, the model allures researchers from stochastic calculus and mathematical statistics foremost with its intriguing intrinsic properties and surprising new effects.

In this work, we establish central limit theorems for estimators in a general setup, first in the one-dimensional case with noisy discrete observations of a continuous semimartingale, and then in the multi-dimensional case where observations are also non-synchronous. The volatility is allowed to be random. The vital novelty is that the obtained asymptotic variances of the spectral estimators are smaller than for previous estimation approaches and coincide with the lower Cramér-Rao-type bounds in simplified sub-models with deterministic or independent volatility. The estimators are thus *asymptotically efficient* in these sub-models in which the notion of efficiency is meaningful and explored in the literature. As Cramér-Rao lower bounds apply only to the idealized setup, in which the estimated volatility can be treated as non-random and under Gaussian noise, we call the estimators which attain the associated variance in a more general setup *quasi-efficient*. *Stability* of weak convergence and *feasible limit theorems* allow for confidence intervals in the model with stochastic volatility.

There exist two major objectives in the strand of literature on volatility estimation:

1. Providing methods for feasible inference in general and realistic models.
2. Attaining the lowest possible asymptotic variance.

The one-dimensional parametric experiment in which the volatility σ is a constant parameter without drift and with Gaussian i.i.d. noise has been well understood by a LAN (local asymptotic normality) result by Gloter and Jacod (2001). While it is commonly known that for n regularly spaced discrete observations $n^{1/2}$ is the optimal convergence rate in the absence of noise and $2\sigma^4$ is the variance lower bound, Gloter and Jacod (2001) showed that with noise the optimal rate declines to $n^{1/4}$ and the lower variance bound is $8\eta\sigma^3$, when η^2 is the variance of the noise. Recent years have witnessed the development and suggestion of various estimation methods in a nonparametric framework that can provide consistent rate-optimal estimators. *Stable central limit theorems* have been proved. Let us mention the prominent approaches by Zhang (2006), Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2008), Jacod et al. (2009) and Xiu (2010) for the one-dimensional case and Aït-Sahalia et al. (2010), Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2011), Bibinger (2011) and Christensen et al. (2013) for the general multi-dimensional setup. A major focus has been to attain a minimum (asymptotic) variance among all proposed estimators which at the slow optimal convergence rate could result in substantial finite-sample precision gains. For instance Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2008) have put emphasis on the construction of a version of their kernel estimator which asymptotically attains the bound $8\eta\sigma^3$ in the parametric sub-experiment. Nonparametric efficiency is considered by Reiß (2011) in the one-dimensional setup and recently by Bibinger et al. (2014) in a multi-dimensional non-synchronous framework. Also for the nonparametric experiment without noise efficiency is subject of current research, see Renault et al. (2015) and Clément et al. (2013) for recent advances. Jacod and Mykland (2015) have recently proposed an adaptive version of their pre-average estimator which achieves an asymptotic variance of ca. $1.07 \cdot 8\eta \int_0^t \sigma_s^3 \, ds$ where $8\eta \int_0^t \sigma_s^3 \, ds$ is the nonparametric lower bound. In case of random endogenous observation times or noise, the statistical properties could potentially change, see e.g. Li et al. (2014).

Reiß (2011) introduced a spectral approach motivated by an equivalence of continuous-time observation nonparametric and locally parametric experiments using a local method of moments. His estimator has been extended to discrete observations and the multi-dimensional setting in Bibinger and Reiß (2014) and Bibinger et al. (2014). In contrast to all previous estimation techniques, the spectral estimator attains the Cramér-Rao efficiency lower bound for the asymptotic variance. However, the notion of nonparametric efficiency and the construction of the estimators have been restricted to the simplified statistical experiment where a continuous martingale without drift and with time-varying but deterministic volatility is observed with additive Gaussian noise. The main contribution of this work is to investigate the spectral approach under model misspecification in the general nonparametric standard setup, i.e. with drift, a general random volatility process and a more general error distribution. We show that the estimators significantly improve upon existing estimation methods also in more complex models which are of central interest in finance and econometrics. We pursue a high-frequency asymptotic distribution theory. The main results are functional stable limit theorems with optimal convergence rates and with asymptotic variances that coincide with the lower bounds in the sub-experiments. The asymptotic analysis combines the theory of Jacod (1997), applied in similar context also in Fukasawa (2010) and Hayashi and Yoshida (2011), with Fourier analysis and matrix algebra. This is due to the fact that the efficient spectral estimation employs smoothing in the Fourier domain and because the method of moments is based on multi-dimensional Fisher information matrix calculus. In principle, the spectral estimators are based on weighted averages in the spectral domain of the observations with optimal weights depending on the local covolatility matrix. We therefore provide also adaptive versions of the spectral estimators where in a first step the local covolatility matrices are pre-estimated from the same data. This two-stage method readily provides feasible limit theorems.

This article is structured into six sections. Following this introduction, Section 2 introduces the statistical model and outlines all main results in a concise overview. Section 3 revisits the elements of the spectral estimation approach and the multivariate local method of moments. In Section 4 we explain the main steps for proving the functional central limit theorems. Mathematical details are given in Section 6. In Section 5 we present a Monte Carlo study.

2. Statistical model & Main results

Let us first introduce the statistical model, fix the notation and gather all assumptions for the one- and the multi-dimensional setup.

2.1. Theoretical setup and assumptions

First, consider a one-dimensional continuous Itô semimartingale

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s \, dW_s \,, \tag{1}$$

on a filtered probability space $\left(\Omega^0, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\leq t\leq 1}, \mathbb{P}^0\right)$ with $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ being a right-continuous and complete filtration and W a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion.

Assumption (H-1). *We pursue the asymptotic analysis under two structural hypotheses for the volatility process of which one must be satisfied:*

($\sigma-1$) There exists a locally bounded process $L_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, such that $t \mapsto \sigma_t$ is almost surely α-Hölder continuous on $[0,t]$ for $\alpha > 1/2$ and Hölder constant L_t, i.e. $|\sigma_\tau - \sigma_s| \leq L_t \, |\tau - s|^\alpha \,, 0 \leq \tau, s \leq t$, almost surely.

($\sigma-2$) The process σ_t is an Itô semimartingale with locally bounded characteristics.

Furthermore, suppose σ_t never vanishes. For the drift process, assume there exists a locally bounded process $L'_t, 0 \le t \le 1$, such that $b_s = g(b^A_s, b^B_s)$ with a function g, continuously differentiable in both coordinates, and $t \mapsto b^B_t$ is almost surely ν-Hölder continuous on $[0,t]$ for $\nu > 0$ and Hölder constant L'_t, i.e. $\left|b^B_\tau - b^B_s\right| \le L'_t\,|\tau - s|^\nu$, $0 \le \tau, s \le t$, almost surely. The process $b^A_s, 0 \le s \le 1$, is an Itô semimartingale with locally bounded characteristics.

The assumption grants for $0 \le t+s \le 1$, $t \ge 0$, some constants $C_n, K_n > 0$, some $\alpha > 1/2$ and a sequence of stopping times T_n increasing to ∞ that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\big[\sigma_{(t+s)\wedge T_n} - \sigma_{t\wedge T_n}|\mathcal{F}_t\big]\right| \le C_n\, s^\alpha\,, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{\tau\in[0,s]}(\sigma_{(\tau+t)\wedge T_n} - \sigma_{t\wedge T_n})^2\Big] \le K_n\, s\,. \tag{3}$$

The estimate (3) corresponds to Assumption (L) and Equation (4.1) of Jacod and Mykland (2015), and provides the bound which is required for the proofs. It does not exclude jumps, but fixed times of discontinuity. In particular σ_t may be correlated with X_t, thus allowing for leverage. The mild smoothness assumption on b turns out be essential, see the proof of Proposition 4.2.
We work within the model where we have indirect observations of X diluted by noise.

Assumption (Obs-1). *Let $(\epsilon_t)_{0\le t\le 1}$ be an i.i.d. white noise process with $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^8] < \infty$ and variances $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^2] = \eta^2 > 0$. We assume the noise process is independent of $\mathcal{F}$. Set $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \sigma(\epsilon_s : s \le t)$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{0\le t\le 1}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space which accommodates the signal and the noise processes and extends the space $(\Omega^0, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\le t\le 1}, \mathbb{P}^0)$. On this extension the semimartingale X is observed at regular times i/n, $i = 0, \dots, n$, with additive noise:*

$$Y_i = X_{i/n} + \epsilon_{i/n}\,, i = 0, \dots, n\,. \tag{4}$$

In fact, we consider a sequence of observed processes $(Y_i)_{i=0,\dots,n}$ depending on n. For notational brevity, we shortly write Y for the observation process. For more details on the extension of the probability space in a similar setup and a discussion of different assumptions for the noise process we refer to Equation (2.2) and Example 2.1 of Jacod and Mykland (2015). Let us mention that presumably our method is not restricted to noise which is independent of the signal. This is merely a technical assumption simplifying the proofs. For the multi-dimensional case, we focus on a d-dimensional continuous Itô semimartingale

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s\, ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s\, dW_s \tag{5}$$

on a filtered probability space $(\Omega^0, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\le t\le 1}, \mathbb{P}^0)$ with $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ being a right-continuous and complete filtration and W being here a d-dimensional $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted standard Brownian motion. The *integrated covolatility matrix* is denoted $\int_0^t \Sigma_s\, ds$, $\Sigma_s = \sigma_s\sigma_s^\top$. It coincides with the quadratic covariation matrix $[X,X]_t$ of the continuous semimartingale X. We denote the spectral norm by $\|\cdot\|$ and define $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{t\in[0,1]}\|f(t)\|$ for functions $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d'}$. Consider Hölder balls of order $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and with radius $R > 0$:

$$C^\alpha(R) = \{f \in C^\alpha([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{d\times d'}) | \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \le R\}\,,\ \|f\|_{C^\alpha} := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{x\ne y}\frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,.$$

We assume the following regularity conditions.

Assumption (H-d). *The stochastic instantaneous volatility process σ is a $(d \times d')$-dimensional $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted process satisfying one of the following regularity conditions:*

($\Sigma - 1$) $\sigma \in C^\alpha(R)$ for some $R > 0$ and with Hölder exponent $\alpha > 1/2$.

($\Sigma - 2$) σ is an Itô semimartingale whose characteristics are assumed to be locally bounded.

Furthermore, we assume that the positive definite matrix Σ_s satisfies $\Sigma_s \geq \underline{\Sigma} E_d$, where E_d is the d-dimensional identity matrix, in the sense of Löwner ordering of positive definite matrices. This is the analogue of "σ_t never vanishes" for $d = 1$. The drift b is a d-dimensional $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted process given by a function $g(b_s^A, b_s^B)$ which is continuously differentiable in all coordinates with $b^B \in C^\nu(R)$ for some $R > 0, \nu > 0$, and b^A a d-dimensional Itô semimartingale with locally bounded characteristics.

We consider a very general framework with noise and in which observations come at non-synchronous sampling times.

Assumption (Obs-d). *Let $(\epsilon_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be a d-dimensional i.i.d. white noise process with independent components such that $\mathbb{E}[(\epsilon_t^{(l)})^8] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(\epsilon_t^{(l)}) = \eta_l^2 > 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $l = 1, \ldots, d$. We assume the noise process is independent of $\mathcal{F}$. Set $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \sigma(\epsilon_s : s \leq t)$ for $t \geq 0$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space which accommodates the signal and the noise processes and extends the space $(\Omega^0, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}, \mathbb{P}^0)$. The signal process X of the form* (5) *is discretely and non-synchronously observed and subject to additive noise. Observation times $t_i^{(l)}, i = 0, \ldots, n_l, l = 1, \ldots, d$, are described by quantile transformations $t_i^{(l)} = F_l^{-1}(i/n_l)$, with differentiable possibly random distribution functions $F_l, F_l(0) = 0$, $F_l(1) = 1$ and $F_l' \in C^\alpha([0,1],[0,1])$ with $\|F'\|_{C^\alpha}$ bounded for some $\alpha > 1/2$ and F_l' strictly positive. The observation times are independent of X. We assume that $n/n_l \to \nu_l$ as $n \to \infty$ with constants $0 < \nu_l < \infty$. Observations are then given by*

$$Y_i^{(l)} = X_{t_i^{(l)}}^{(l)} + \epsilon_{t_i^{(l)}}^{(l)}, i = 0, \ldots, n_l, l = 1, \ldots, d\,.$$

Our analysis includes deterministic and random observation times which are independent of Y. Though Assumption (Obs-d) displays to some extent still an idealization of realistic market microstructure dynamics, our observation model constitutes the established setup in related literature and captures the main ingredients of realistic log-price models.

2.2. Mathematical concepts and notation

Denote $\Delta_i^n Y^{(l)} = Y_i^{(l)} - Y_{i-1}^{(l)}, i = 1, \ldots, n_l, l = 1, \ldots, d$, the increments of $Y^{(l)}$ and analogously for X and other processes. In the one-dimensional case, we write $\Delta^n Y = (\Delta_i^n Y)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for the vector of increments. We shall write $Z_n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\delta_n)$ ($Z_n = \mathcal{o}_{\mathbb{P}}(\delta_n)$) for real random variables, to express that the sequence $\delta_n^{-1} Z_n$ is bounded (tends to zero) in probability under $\mathbb{P}$. Analogously $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{o}$ are used for deterministic sequences. To express that terms are of the same asymptotic order we write $Z_n \asymp_p Y_n$ if $Z_n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y_n)$ and $Y_n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(Z_n)$ and likewise $\asymp$ for deterministic terms. Also, we use the short notation $A_n \lesssim B_n$ for $A_n = \mathcal{O}(B_n)$. Convergence in probability and weak convergence are denoted by $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\xrightarrow{d}$; $\xrightarrow{st}$ refers to stable weak convergence with respect to $\mathcal{G}$ - if not further specified. We write $X^n \xrightarrow{ucp} X$ for processes X^n, X to express shortly that $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |X_t^n - X_t| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$. δ_{lm} is Kronecker's delta, i.e. $\delta_{lm} = 1$ for $l = m$, $\delta_{lm} = 0$ else. For functional convergence of processes we focus on the space $\mathcal{D}([0,1])$, the space of càdlàg functions (right-continuous with left limits).

Recall the definition of stable weak convergence which is an essential concept in the asymptotic theory for volatility estimation. For a sub-σ-field $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, a sequence of random variables (X_n) taking values in a Polish space $(E, \mathcal{E})$ converges $\mathcal{A}$-stably, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[Zf(X_n)\right] = \int_{\Omega\times E} \mu(d\omega, dx) Z(\omega) f(x)$$

with a probability measure μ on $(\Omega \times E, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E})$ and for all continuous and bounded f and $\mathcal{A}$-measurable bounded random variables Z. The definition is equivalent to joint weak convergence of (Z, X_n) for every $\mathcal{A}$-measurable random variable Z. Thus $\mathcal{F}$-stable weak convergence means $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_n)Z\right] = \mathbb{E}'\left[f(X)Z\right]$ for all bounded continuous f and bounded measurable Z, where the limit X of (X_n) is defined on an extended probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}')$. In our setup, the extended space will be given by the orthogonal product of $(\Omega^0, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^0)$ and an auxiliary space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$. We refer to Podolskij and Vetter (2010) for more information on stable convergence.

For the multi-dimensional setting the vec-operator and Kronecker products of matrices will be important. For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ we write the entries $A_{pq}, p, q = 1, \ldots, d$, and the vector of its entries obtained by stacking its columns on top of one another

$$\mathrm{vec}(A) = \left(A_{11}, A_{21}, \ldots, A_{d1}, A_{12}, A_{22}, \ldots, A_{d2}, \ldots, A_{d(d-1)}, A_{dd}\right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}.$$

The transpose of a matrix A is denoted by $A^\top$. For matrix functions in time , for instance the covolatility matrix, we write the entries as $A_t^{(pq)}$. The Kronecker product $A \otimes B \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2\times d^2}$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is defined as

$$(A \otimes B)_{d(p-1)+q, d(p'-1)+q'} = A_{pp'} B_{qq'}, \quad p, q, p', q' = 1, \ldots, d.$$

In the multivariate limit theorems, we account for effects by non-commutativity of matrix multiplication. It will be useful to standardize limit theorems such that the matrix

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{COV}(\mathrm{vec}(ZZ^\top)), \text{ for } Z \sim N(0, E_d) \text{ standard Gaussian}, \tag{6}$$

appears as variance-covariance matrix of the standardized form instead of the identity matrix. This matrix is the sum of the d^2-dimensional identity matrix E_{d^2} and the so-called commutation matrix $C_{d,d}$ that maps a vectorized $(d \times d)$ matrix to the vec of its transpose, i.e. $C_{d,d}\,\mathrm{vec}(A) = \mathrm{vec}(A^\top)$. The matrix $\mathcal{Z}/2$ is idempotent and introduced in Abadir and Magnus (2009), Chapter 11, as the *symmetrizer matrix*. Note that in the multi-dimensional experiment under equidistant synchronous non-noisy observations of X, the sample realized covolatility matrix $\widehat{IC} = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{i/n} - X_{(i-1)/n})(X_{i/n} - X_{(i-1)/n})^\top$ obeys the central limit theorem:

$$n^{1/2}\ \mathrm{vec}\left(\widehat{IC} - \int_0^1 \Sigma_s\, ds\right) \xrightarrow{st} N\Big(0, \int_0^1 \left(\Sigma_s \otimes \Sigma_s\right) \mathcal{Z}\, ds\Big), \tag{7}$$

where similarly as in our result below the matrix $\mathcal{Z}$ appears as one factor in the asymptotic variance and remains after standardization. For background information on matrix algebra, especially tensor calculus using the Kronecker product and vec-operator we refer interested readers to Abadir and Magnus (2009). Note the crucial relation between the Kronecker product and the vec-operator $\mathrm{vec}(ABC) = (C^\top \otimes A)\,\mathrm{vec}(B)$.

We employ the notion of empirical scalar products in the fashion of Bibinger and Reiß (2014), which is recalled in Definition 1 in Section 6, along with some useful properties.

2.3. Outline of the main results

In the sequel, we present the three major results of this work in Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 and concisely discuss the consequences. Theorems 1 and 2 establish functional stable central limit theorems in a general semimartingale setting for the spectral estimators of Reiß (2011) and Bibinger and Reiß (2014). Theorem 3 gives a multivariate limit theorem for the localized method of moment approach of Bibinger et al. (2014). These methods are briefly explained in a nutshell in Section 3.1. Note that they attain asymptotic efficiency lower variance bounds in simplified models without drift, with independent volatility and covolatility processes and normally distributed noise.

Theorem 1. *In the one-dimensional experiment, on Assumption (H-1) and Assumption (Obs-1), for the adaptive spectral estimator of integrated squared volatility* $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}$*, stated in* (24a) *below, the functional stable weak convergence*

$$n^{1/4}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}-\int_0^t \sigma_s^2\,ds\right)\xrightarrow{st}\int_0^t \sqrt{8\eta\,|\sigma_s^3|}\,dB_s \tag{8}$$

applies as $n\to\infty$ *on* $\mathcal{D}[0,1]$*, where* B *is a Brownian motion defined on an extension of the original probability space* $(\Omega,\mathcal{G},(\mathcal{G}_t)_{0\le t\le 1},\mathbb{P})$*, independent of the original* σ*-algebra* $\mathcal{G}$*. Moreover, the variance estimator* $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{n,t}^{\mathcal{IV}}$ *in* (24b) *provides for fixed* $0\le t\le 1$ *the feasible central limit theorem:*

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{n,t}^{\mathcal{IV}}\right)^{-1/2}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}-\int_0^t \sigma_s^2\,ds\right)\xrightarrow{d}N(0,1)\,. \tag{9}$$

Remark 1. The convergence rate in (8) and (9) is *optimal*, already in the parametric subexperiment, see Gloter and Jacod (2001). $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,1}$ is asymptotically mixed normally distributed with random asymptotic variance $\int_0^1 8\eta\left|\sigma_s^3\right|\,ds$. This asymptotic variance coincides with the lower bound derived by Reiß (2011) in the subexperiment with time-varying but deterministic volatility, without drift and with Gaussian error distribution. The spectral estimator of squared integrated volatility is hence *asymptotically efficient* in this setting. For the general semimartingale experiment the concept of asymptotic efficiency is not developed yet, it is conjectured that the lower bound has analogous structure, see Remark 3.1 of Jacod and Mykland (2015). Theorem 1 establishes that the asymptotic variance of the estimator has the same form in the very general framework, what we call *quasi-efficient*, and stable convergence holds true. The *feasible limit theorem* (9) allows to provide confidence intervals and is of pivotal importance for practical capability.

Theorem 2. *In the multi-dimensional experiment, on Assumption (H-d) and Assumption (Obs-d), for the adaptive spectral estimator of integrated covolatility* $\widehat{\mathbf{ICV}}_{n,t}^{(p,q)}$*, stated in* (30a) *below, the functional stable weak convergence*

$$n^{1/4}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{ICV}}_{n,t}^{(p,q)}-\int_0^t \Sigma_s^{(pq)}\,ds\right)\xrightarrow{st}\int_0^t v_s^{(p,q)}\,dB_s \tag{10}$$

applies for $n/n_p\to\nu_p$ *and* $n/n_q\to\nu_q$ *with* $0<\nu_p,\nu_q<\infty$*, as* $n\to\infty$ *on* $\mathcal{D}[0,1]$*, where* B *is a Brownian motion defined on an extension of the original probability space* $(\Omega,\mathcal{G},(\mathcal{G}_t)_{0\le t\le 1},\mathbb{P})$*, independent of the original* σ*-algebra* $\mathcal{G}$*. The asymptotic variance process is given by*

$$\left(v_s^{(p,q)}\right)^2=2\left((F_p^{-1})'(s)(F_q^{-1})'(s)\nu_p\nu_q(A_s^2-B_s)B_s\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\times \left(\sqrt{A_s + \sqrt{A_s^2 - B_s}} - \operatorname{sgn}(A_s^2 - B_s)\sqrt{A_s - \sqrt{A_s^2 - B_s}}\right), \tag{11}$$

with the terms

$$A_s = \Sigma_s^{(pp)} \frac{(F_q^{-1})'(s)\nu_q}{(F_p^{-1})'(s)\nu_p} + \Sigma_s^{(qq)} \frac{(F_p^{-1})'(s)\nu_p}{(F_q^{-1})'(s)\nu_q},$$
$$B_s = 4\left(\Sigma_s^{(pp)}\Sigma_s^{(qq)} + \left(\Sigma_s^{(pq)}\right)^2\right).$$

sgn *denotes the sign taking values in* $\{-1, +1\}$ *and ensuring that the value of* $\left(v_s^{(p,q)}\right)^2$ *is always a positive real number.*
Moreover, the variance estimator $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{n,t}^{\mathcal{ICV}^{(p,q)}}$ *in* (30b) *provides for fixed* $0 \le t \le 1$ *the feasible central limit theorem:*

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{n,t}^{\mathcal{ICV}^{(p,q)}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{ICV}}_{n,t}^{(p,q)} - \int_0^t \Sigma_s^{(pq)}\, ds\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1). \tag{12}$$

Remark 2. The bivariate extension of the spectral method outperforms by its local adaptivity and Fourier domain smoothing previous approaches for integrated covolatility estimation in most cases, see Bibinger and Reiß (2014) for a detailed discussion and survey on the different methods. Yet, it attains the multi-dimensional variance lower bound in the submodel for estimating the integrated covolatility $\int_0^1 \Sigma_s^{(pq)}\, ds$ only in case of zero correlation. On the other hand, the estimator already achieves a high efficiency and since it does not involve Fisher information weight matrices, it is less computationally costly than the efficient local method of moments approach. The general form of the asymptotic variance given in (11) looks a bit tedious. In case that $\Sigma_t^{(12)} = 0$ and for equal volatilities $\Sigma_t^{(11)} = \Sigma_t^{(22)} = \sigma_t$, it simplifies to $\int_0^t 4\eta|\sigma_s^3|\, ds$ which is efficient for this setup. By its rescaled version in (12) allowing for confidence bounds, the estimator is of high practical value.

Theorem 3. *In the multi-dimensional experiment, on Assumption (H-d) and Assumption (Obs-d), for the local method of moments estimator of the vectorized integrated covolatility matrix* $\mathbf{LMM}_{n,t}$*, stated in* (33a) *below, the functional stable weak convergence*

$$n^{1/4}\left(\mathbf{LMM}_{n,t} - \operatorname{vec}\left(\int_0^t \Sigma_s\, ds\right)\right) \xrightarrow{st} \int_0^t \left(\Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \left(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) \mathcal{Z}\, dB_s + \int_0^t \left(\left(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \otimes \Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathcal{Z}\, dB_s^{\perp} \tag{13}$$

applies, with $\mathcal{H}(t) = \operatorname{diag}(\eta_p \nu_p^{1/2} F_p'(t)^{-1/2})_p \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ *and* $\left(\Sigma^{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{1/4}$ *the matrix square root of* $\left(\Sigma^{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{1/2} := \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}\Sigma\mathcal{H}^{-1})^{1/2}\mathcal{H}$*, as* $n \to \infty$ *and* $n/n_p \to \nu_p$ *for* $p = 1, \dots, d$*, on* $\mathcal{D}[0,1]$*, where* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is the matrix defined in* (6) *and* B *and* $B^{\perp}$ *are two independent* d^2*-dimensional Brownian motions, both defined on an extension of the original probability space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{0\le t\le 1}, \mathbb{P})$*, independent of the original* σ*-algebra* $\mathcal{G}$*. For fixed time* $t = 1$ *in* (13)*, the point-wise marginal central limit theorem reads*

$$n^{1/4}\left(\mathbf{LMM}_{n,1} - \operatorname{vec}\left(\int_0^1 \Sigma_s\, ds\right)\right) \xrightarrow{st} MN\left(0, \mathbf{I}^{-1}\mathcal{Z}\right), \tag{14}$$

where MN *means mixed normal distribution, with the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix*

$$\mathbf{I}^{-1} = 2\int_0^1 \left(\Sigma_s \otimes \left(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{1/2} \otimes \Sigma_s\right) ds. \tag{15}$$

Moreover, the variance-covariance matrix estimator $\mathbf{I}_{n,t}^{-1}$ *in* (33b) *provides for fixed* $0 \leq t \leq 1$ *the feasible central limit theorem:*

$$\mathbf{I}_{n,t}^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{LMM}_{n,t} - \operatorname{vec}\Big(\int_0^t \Sigma_s\, ds\Big)\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \mathcal{Z}\right) . \tag{16}$$

Remark 3. The local method of moments attains the lower asymptotic variance bound derived in Bibinger et al. (2014) for a nonparametric experiment with deterministic covolatility matrix, without drift and with Gaussian error distribution. Thus, the local method of moments is *asymptotically efficient* in this subexperiment. In the general setup it is *quasi-efficient*.
The asymptotic variance of estimating integrated squared volatility decreases as we can benefit from observing correlated components. In the multi-dimensional observation model the minimum asymptotic variance can become much smaller than the bound in (8) for $d = 1$. In an idealized parametric model with $\sigma > 0$, the variance can be reduced up to $(8/\sqrt{d})\eta\sigma^3$ in comparison to the one-dimensional lower bound $8\eta\sigma^3$, see Bibinger et al. (2014) for a deeper discussion of the lower bound. In view of the complex geometry of the general multi-dimensional parameter space, expression (15) provides a neat description of the asymptotic variance bound.

3. Spectral estimation of integrated volatility and the integrated covolatility matrix

3.1. Elements of spectral estimation

We shall concisely review the building blocks of spectral estimation. For simplicity we start with the one-dimensional framework, $d = 1$. We partition the time interval $[0, 1]$ into equidistant bins $[(k-1)h_n, kh_n]$, $k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, such that nh_n is the average number of observations per bin. Consider a statistical experiment in which we approximate σ_t by a locally constant function. Precisely, on each bin $[(k-1)h_n, kh_n]$ a piecewise constant squared volatility $\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ is considered. In this experiment the task is then to estimate on every block $\int_{(k-1)h_n}^{kh_n} \sigma_s^2\, ds$ by $h_n\hat{\sigma}^2_{(k-1)h_n}$, solving locally parametric estimation problems. For this purpose Reiß (2011) motivated to use *spectral statistics*

$$S_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i^n Y \Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \ , j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1, k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1}, \tag{17}$$

which are discrete analogues of expressions obtained from diagonalizing the covariance operator of observations in an equivalent white noise experiment. Here,

$$\Phi_j(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{h_n}} \sin\left(j\pi h_n^{-1} t\right) \mathbf{1}_{[0,h_n]}(t)\,,\ \Phi_{jk}\left(t\right) = \Phi_j(t - (k-1)h_n), \quad j \geq 1,\, 0 \leq t \leq 1\,, \tag{18}$$

$$\varphi_j\left(t\right) = 2n\sqrt{\frac{2}{h_n}} \sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{2nh_n}\right) \cos\left(j\pi h_n^{-1} t\right) \mathbf{1}_{[0,h_n]}\left(t\right)\ ,\ \varphi_{jk}\left(t\right) = \varphi_j(t - (k-1)h_n)\,, \tag{19}$$

are systems of trigonometric functions orthogonal with respect to empirical scalar products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_n$, respectively, see Section 6.1. Efficient estimators $\hat{\sigma}^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ for $\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ are then constructed by weighted linear combinations of bias-corrected squared spectral statistics over different frequencies. Consequently, in this experiment we estimate $\int_{(k-1)h_n}^{kh_n} \sigma_s^2\, ds$ by $h_n\hat{\sigma}^2_{(k-1)h_n}$.

The spectral statistics are the principal elements of the considered estimation techniques. They are related to the pre-averages of Jacod et al. (2009) which have been designed for our one-dimensional estimation problem, as well. A main difference is that we keep the bins fixed which makes the construction of the spectral approach simple. Bin-wise the spectral estimation profits from an advanced smoothing method in the frequency domain, i.e. using the weight function of a discrete sine transformation. The spectral statistics hence *de-correlate* the observations and form their bin-wise principal components. The methodology can be viewed also as localizing on bins the estimator by Curci and Corsi (2012). Reiß (2011) showed that this leads to a semiparametrically efficient estimation approach of squared integrated volatility in a nonparametric setup with deterministic volatility, without drift and normally distributed noise. The bin-width is chosen as $h_n \asymp n^{-1/2}\log n$ to attain the optimal convergence rates and for the results in Section 2.1. This becomes clear in the proofs in Section 6. The log-factor plays a role in the convergence of the sum of variances over different frequencies. The leading asymptotic order $n^{-1/2}$ for the bin-width is analogous to the pre-average and kernel bandwidths, cf. Jacod et al. (2009) and Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2008), and balances the discretization error which increases with increasing h_n and the error due to noise which decreases as h_n increases. Let us point out that the basis functions (18) and (19) are slightly scaled versions of the respective basis functions in Bibinger and Reiß (2014) and Bibinger et al. (2014) for a more convenient exposition.

3.2. The spectral estimator of integrated volatility

In the sequel, we use the empirical norm of the functions (19), see Definition 1 and (45b). Locally parametric estimates for the squared volatility $\hat{\sigma}^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ are obtained by weighted linear combinations with weights w_{jk} of bias-corrected squared spectral statistics:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2_{(k-1)h_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\Big(S^2_{jk} - [\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\,\frac{\eta^2}{n}\Big)\,. \tag{20}$$

Asymptotically, we consider infinitely many frequencies, but a natural maximal frequency is $\lfloor nh_n\rfloor - 1$[2]. The correction for the bias due to noise incorporates the noise level η which is in general unknown – but can be consistently estimated from the data with $n^{1/2}$ convergence rate, e. g. by $\hat{\eta}^2 = (2n)^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(\Delta_i^n Y)^2$, see Zhang et al. (2005) for an asymptotic analysis of this estimator. The principle of bias-correcting the squared spectral statistics still relates to the early estimator by Zhou (1998) for volatility estimation under microstructure noise.
The estimator of the integrated squared volatility $\int_0^t \sigma_s^2\,ds$ is constructed as Riemann sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n\hat{\sigma}^2_{(k-1)h_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\Big(S^2_{jk} - [\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\,\frac{\eta^2}{n}\Big)\,, \tag{21}$$

such that the estimator at $t=1$ becomes simply the average of local estimates in the case of equispaced bins. Set $I_{jk} = \big(\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big(S^2_{jk}\big)\big)^{-1}$ and $I_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} I_{jk}$. The variance of the above estimator becomes minimal and equal to $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n^2 I_k^{-1}$ for the oracle weights

$$w_{jk} = I_k^{-1}I_{jk} = \frac{\Big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \frac{\eta^2}{n}\,[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\Big)^{-2}}{\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}\Big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \frac{\eta^2}{n}\,[\varphi_{mk},\varphi_{mk}]_n\Big)^{-2}} \tag{22}$$

[2]The proofs reveal that even a largest cut-off frequency $J_n \asymp \log n$ suffices and higher frequencies are negligible.

for $k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1$, when the noise is Gaussian and $\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}$ is bin-wise constant. For general noise distribution it turns out that the first-order variance is not affected. It is essential to develop an adaptive version of the estimator, for which we replace the oracle optimal weights by data-driven estimated optimal weights. Additionally to the estimated noise variance, a bin-wise consistent estimator of the local volatilities $\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ with some convergence rate suffices. Local pre-estimates of the volatilities $\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ can be constructed by using the same ansatz as in (20), but involving only $J_n \ll \lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1$ frequencies and constant weights $w_{jk} = J_n^{-1}$ and then averaging over $2K_n + 1 \asymp n^{1/4}$ bins in a neighborhood of $(k-1)h_n$:

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2,pilot}_{(k-1)h_n} = (2K_n+1)^{-1} \sum_{m=(k-1-K_n)\vee 1}^{(k-1+K_n)\wedge h_n^{-1}} J_n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} \Big(S^2_{jm} - [\varphi_{jm}, \varphi_{jm}]_n \frac{\hat{\eta}^2}{n} \Big) . \tag{23}$$

This estimator attains $n^{1/8}$ as rate of convergence in case of $\alpha \approx 1/2$ under $(\sigma - 1)$ or under $(\sigma - 2)$ in Assumption (H-1). The estimated weights are then given by $\hat{w}_{jk} = \hat{I}_k^{-1} \hat{I}_{jk}$ where $\hat{I}_k, \hat{I}_{jk}$ are obtained as above in (22) but plugging in the pre-estimates of local squared volatilities and of the noise variance. We can define the final fully adaptive spectral estimator of integrated volatility and the estimator for its variance based on a two-stage approach:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} \hat{w}_{jk} \Big(S^2_{jk} - \frac{\hat{\eta}^2}{n} [\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}]_n \Big) , \tag{24a}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{IV}}_{n,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n^2 \, \hat{I}_k^{-1} . \tag{24b}$$

3.3. The spectral covolatility estimator

The spectral covolatility estimator from Bibinger and Reiß (2014) is the obvious extension of the one-dimensional estimator using cross-products of spectral statistics:

$$S^{(p)}_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \Delta_i^n Y^{(p)} \Phi_{jk} \left(\frac{t_i^{(p)} + t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2} \right) \ , \ j \geq 1, p = 1, \ldots, d, k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1}. \tag{25}$$

The basis functions (Φ_{jk}) are defined as in (18). Under non-synchronous observations, we can use instead of (19) the simpler expression $\varphi_{jk} = \Phi'_{jk}$ [3]. Instead of the empirical norm we now use $[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}] = \int_0^1 \varphi^2_{jk}(t)\, dt = h_n^{-2}\pi^2 j^2$. In the multi-dimensional setup we introduce a diagonal matrix function of noise levels $\mathcal{H}(t) = \operatorname{diag}\left(\eta_l \big(\nu_l (F_l^{-1})'(t)\big)^{1/2}\right)_{l=1,\ldots,d}$ incorporating constants ν_l when $n/n_l \to \nu_l$. By a locally constant approximation of the observation frequencies we get a bin-wise locally constant approximation of $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathbf{H}_k^n = \operatorname{diag}(n^{-1}\eta_l^2 \nu_l (F_l^{-1})'((k-1)h_n))_{l=1,\ldots,d} = \operatorname{diag}(H_l^{kh_n})_{l=1,\ldots,d} . \tag{26}$$

[3] This meets the original idea by Reiß (2011) for continuous-time observations to use orthogonal systems of functions and their derivatives. While in the case of regular observations on the grid $i/n, i = 0, \ldots, n$, we can slightly profit by the discrete Fourier analysis and the exact form of (19), for non-synchronous observations we rely on continuous-time analogues as approximation which coincide with the first-order discrete expressions.

The optimal weights are $w_{jk}^{p,q} = (I_k^{(p,q)})^{-1} I_{jk}^{(p,q)}$, where

$$I_{j(k+1)}^{(p,q)} = \Big(\Sigma_{kh_n}^{(pp)} \Sigma_{kh_n}^{(qq)} + (\Sigma_{kh_n}^{(pq)})^2 + H_p^{kh_n} H_q^{kh_n} [\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}]^2 + \big(\Sigma_{kh_n}^{(pp)} H_q^{kh_n} + \Sigma_{kh_n}^{(qq)} H_p^{kh_n} \big) [\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}] \Big)^{-1}. \tag{27}$$

They depend on the volatilities, covolatility and noise levels of the considered components as defined in (26). The local noise level combines the global noise variance η_p^2 and local observation densities. It can be estimated by

$$\hat{H}_p^{kh_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \big(\Delta_i^n Y^{(p)} \big)^2}{2 n_p h_n} \sum_{kh_n \le t_v^{(p)} \le (k+1)h_n} \big(t_v^{(p)} - t_{v-1}^{(p)} \big)^2, \tag{28}$$

see the asymptotic identity (47) below. Averaging empirical covariances $S_{jk} S_{jk}^\top$ over different spectral frequencies $j = 1, \dots, J_n$ and over a set of $2K_n + 1$ adjacent bins yields a consistent estimator of the instantaneous covolatility matrix:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{(k-1)h_n}^{pilot} = (2K_n + 1)^{-1} \sum_{m=(k-1-K_n)\vee 1}^{(k-1+K_n)\wedge h_n^{-1}} J_n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J_n} \Big(S_{jk} S_{jk}^\top - \hat{\mathbf{H}}_k^n \Big), \tag{29}$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_k^n$ the estimated noise levels matrix (26).
The two latter estimators provide adaptive pre-estimated optimal weights $\hat{w}_{jk}^{p,q}$, again by plug-in in (27). The bivariate spectral covolatility estimator with adaptive weights for $p \neq q, p, q = 1, \dots, d$, is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{ICV}}_{n,t}^{(p,q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t h_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n h_n \rfloor - 1} \hat{w}_{jk}^{p,q} \Big(S_{jk}^{(p)} S_{jk}^{(q)} \Big). \tag{30a}$$

Differently as in (24a) we do not have to correct the cross product $S_{jk}^{(p)} S_{jk}^{(q)}$ for a bias, since the noise is component-wise independent. The estimator of the variance is:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{n,t}^{\mathcal{ICV}^{(p,q)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t h_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n^2 \big(\hat{I}_k^{(p,q)} \big)^{-1}. \tag{30b}$$

A more general version of the spectral covolatility estimator for a model including cross-correlation of the noise (in a synchronous framework) can be found in Bibinger and Reiß (2014). For a simpler exposition and since this notion of cross-correlation is not adequate for the more important non-synchronous case, we restrict ourselves here to noise according to Assumption (Obs-d).

3.4. Local method of moments

Consider the *vectors* of spectral statistics:

$$S_{jk} = \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \Delta_i^n Y^{(p)} \Phi_{jk} \Big(\frac{t_i^{(p)} + t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2} \Big) \Big)_{p=1,\dots,d}, \tag{31}$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, h_n^{-1}$ and $j \geq 1$.
The fundamental novelty of the local method of moments approach is to involve multivariate Fisher informations as optimal weight matrices which are $(d^2 \times d^2)$ matrices of the following form:

$$W_{jk} = I_k^{-1} I_{jk} = \Big(\sum_{u=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} \big(\Sigma_{(k-1)h_n} + [\varphi_{uk}, \varphi_{uk}] \mathbf{H}_k^n \big)^{-\otimes 2} \Big)^{-1} \big(\Sigma_{(k-1)h_n} + [\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}] \mathbf{H}_k^n \big)^{-\otimes 2}, \quad (32)$$

with $I_{jk}^{-1} = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{OV}(S_{jk} S_{jk}^\top)$, where $A^{\otimes 2} = A \otimes A$ denotes the Kronecker product of a matrix with itself and $A^{-\otimes 2} = (A^{\otimes 2})^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$. The main difference to estimators (24a) and (30a) is that for estimating one specific (co-) volatility of one (two) components, estimator (32) does not only rely on observations of the one (two) considered component(s) but profits from information inherent in all other components with some correlation to the considered ones. In general, this facilitates a much smaller variance in the multivariate model.
With the pilot estimates (29) and estimators for the noise level (28) at hand, we derive estimated optimal weight matrices for building a linear combination over spectral frequencies $j = 1, \dots, \lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1$, similar as above. The final estimator of the vectorization of the integrated covolatility matrix $\mathrm{vec}(\int_0^t \Sigma_s \, ds)$, becomes

$$\mathbf{LMM}_{n,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} \hat{W}_{jk} \, \mathrm{vec} \left(S_{jk} S_{jk}^\top - \hat{\mathbf{H}}_k^n \right) , \quad (33a)$$

and the estimator of its variance-covariance matrix:

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{n,t}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n^2 \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} \hat{I}_{jk} \Big)^{-1} . \quad (33b)$$

Compared to the approach by Li and Xiu (2015), though given similar names, our method is quite different. One common feature is the two-stage adaptivity where pre-estimated spot volatilities are plugged in for the final estimator.

4. Asymptotic theory

We start with the one-dimensional experiment. We decompose X as

$$X_t = X_0 + \tilde{X}_t + (X_t - X_0 - \tilde{X}_t) , \quad (34a)$$

where $\tilde{X}$ is a simplified process without drift and with a piecewise constant approximation of the volatility:

$$\tilde{X}_t = \int_0^t \sigma_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n} \, dW_s . \quad (34b)$$

The asymptotic theory of the spectral estimators is conducted first with optimal oracle weights. Below, the effect of a pre-estimation of the weights for the fully adaptive estimator is shown to be asymptotically negligible at first order. In the following, we distinguish between $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(Y)$, the oracle version of the spectral volatility estimator (24a), and $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(\tilde{X} + \epsilon)$ for the oracle estimator in a simplified experiment in which $\tilde{X}$ instead of X is observed with noise. It turns out that both have the same

asymptotic limiting distribution, see Proposition 4.2. In order to establish a functional limit theorem, we decompose the estimation error of the oracle version of (24a) in the following way:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(Y) - \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \, ds = \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon) - \int_0^t \sigma^2_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n} \, ds \tag{35a}$$

$$+ \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(Y) - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon) - \int_0^t \left(\sigma_s^2 - \sigma^2_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n}\right) ds \, . \tag{35b}$$

The proof of the functional central limit theorem (CLT) falls into three major parts. First, we prove the result of Theorem 1 for the right-hand side of (35a). In the second step the approximation error in (35b) is shown to be asymptotically negligible. Finally, we establish that the same functional stable CLT carries over to the adaptive estimators by proving that the error of the plug-in estimation of optimal weights is asymptotically negligible.

Proposition 4.1. *On the assumptions of Theorem 1, it holds true that*

$$n^{1/4}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon) - h_n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} \right) \xrightarrow{st} \int_0^t \sqrt{8\eta \, |\sigma_s^3|} \, dB_s \, , \tag{36}$$

as $n \to \infty$ on $\mathcal{D}[0,1]$ where B is a Brownian motion defined on an extension of the original probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}, \mathbb{P})$, independent of the original σ-algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

Proposition 4.2. *On the assumptions of Theorem 1, it holds true that:*

$$n^{1/4}\Big(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(Y) - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon) - \int_0^t \left(\sigma_s^2 - \sigma^2_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n}\right) ds \Big) \xrightarrow{ucp} 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty \, . \tag{37}$$

Theorem 1 is then an immediate consequence of the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3. *On the assumptions of Theorem 1:*

$$n^{1/4}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t} - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(Y) \right) \xrightarrow{ucp} 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \, . \tag{38}$$

Finally, by consistency of the variance estimators and stable convergence the feasible limit theorems for the adaptive estimators are valid. The asymptotic negligibility of the plug-in estimation in Proposition 4.3 is proven in Section 6 exploiting a uniform bound on the derivative of the weights as function of σ_t. In fact, it turns out that the weights are robust enough in misspecification of the pre-estimated local volatility to render the difference between oracle and adaptive estimator asymptotically negligible. This carries over to the multivariate methods.

The proof of the functional stable CLT is based on the asymptotic theory developed by Jacod (1997). In order to apply Theorem 3–1 of Jacod (1997) (or equivalently Theorem 2.6 of Podolskij and Vetter (2010)), we illustrate the rescaled estimation error as a sum of increments:

$$n^{1/4}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon) - h_n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \zeta_k^n \, , \tag{39}$$

$$\zeta_k^n = n^{1/4} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(\tilde{S}^2_{jk} - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}^2_{jk} | \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right), \; k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1} \, , \tag{40}$$

with $\tilde{S}_{jk}$ being spectral statistics build from observations of $\tilde{X} + \epsilon$. For the proof of the functional stable CLT, we need to verify the following five conditions:

$$\text{(J1)} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k^n \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \xrightarrow{ucp} 0\,.$$

Convergence of the sum of conditional variances

$$\text{(J2)} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^t v_s^2\, ds\,,$$

with the predictable process $v_s = \sqrt{8\eta|\sigma_s|^3}$, and a Lyapunov-type condition

$$\text{(J3)} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^4 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0\,.$$

Finally, stability of weak convergence is ensured if

$$\text{(J4)} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k^n (W_{kh_n} - W_{(k-1)h_n}) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0\,,$$

where W is the Brownian motion driving the signal process X, and if

$$\text{(J5)} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k^n (N_{kh_n} - N_{(k-1)h_n}) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0\,,$$

for all bounded $\mathcal{G}_t$-martingales N which are orthogonal to W. Next, we strive for a stable functional CLT for the estimation errors of the covolatility estimator (30a) and the local method of moments approach (33a). A non-degenerate asymptotic variance is obtained when $n/n_l \to \nu_l$ with $0 < \nu_l < \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $l = 1, \ldots, d$. We transform the non-synchronous observation model from Assumption (Obs-d) to a synchronous observation model and show that the first order asymptotics of the considered estimators remain invariant. Hence, the effect of non-synchronous sampling on the spectral estimators is shown to be asymptotically negligible. In the idealized martingale framework Bibinger et al. (2014) have found that non-synchronicity effects are asymptotically immaterial in terms of the information content of underlying experiments by a (strong) asymptotic equivalence in the sense of Le Cam of the discrete non-synchronous and a continuous-time observation model. This constitutes a fundamental difference to the non-noisy case where the asymptotic variance of the prominent Hayashi-Yoshida estimator in the functional CLT hinges on interpolation effects, see Hayashi and Yoshida (2011). In the presence of the dominant noise part, however, at the slower optimal convergence rate, the influence of sampling schemes boils down to local observation densities. These time-varying local observation densities are shifted to locally time-varying noise levels (indeed locally increased noise is equivalent to locally less frequent observations). Here, we shall explicitly prove that if we pass from a non-synchronous to a synchronous reference scheme the transformation errors of the estimators are asymptotically negligible.

Lemma 4.4. *Denote* $\bar{t}_i^{(l)} = \big(t_i^{(l)} + t_{i-1}^{(l)}\big)/2, l = 1, \dots, d$. *On Assumptions (H-d) and (Obs-d), we can work under synchronous sampling when considering the signal part* X*, i.e. we have for* $l, m = 1, \dots, d$ *uniformly in* t *for both,* $w_{jk}^{l,m}$ *as in Section 3.3 or defined as entries of* (32)*:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n \sum_{j \geq 1} w_{jk}^{l,m} \sum_{v=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_v^{(l)}) \sum_{i=1}^{n_m} \Big(X^{(m)}_{t_i^{(m)}} - X^{(m)}_{t_{i-1}^{(m)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_i^{(m)}) + \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} h_n \sum_{j \geq 1} w_{jk}^{l,m} \sum_{v=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_v^{(l)}) \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(m)}_{t_i^{(l)}} - X^{(m)}_{t_{i-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_i^{(l)}) \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(F_l^{-1})', (F_m^{-1})'$ affect the asymptotics of our estimators as can be seen in (11), but are treated as part of the summands due to noise.
Under a synchronous reference observation scheme the strategy of the asymptotic analysis is similar to the one-dimensional setup. Analogous decompositions in leading terms from the simplified model without drift and with a locally constant covolatility matrix and remainders are considered for the multivariate method of moments estimator (33a) and the spectral covolatility estimator (30a). In order to prove Theorem 2 for instance, we apply Jacod's limit theorem to the sum of increments

$$\zeta_k^n = n^{1/4} h_n \sum_{j \geq 1} \Big(w_{jk}^{p,q} \tilde{S}_{jk}^{(p)} \tilde{S}_{jk}^{(q)} - \mathbb{E}\Big[\tilde{S}_{jk}^{(p)} \tilde{S}_{jk}^{(q)} \Big| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \Big] \Big), \tag{42}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, h_n^{-1}$ with $\tilde{S}_{jk}^{(p)}$ as defined in (25), but based on observations of $\tilde{X} + \epsilon$. By including the case $p = q$ with a bias correction the one-dimensional result is generalized to non-equidistant sampling.

5. Simulations

In the sequel, the one-dimensional spectral integrated volatility estimator's (24a) finite sample performance is investigated in a random volatility simulation scenario. We sample regular observations $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ as in (4) with $\epsilon_i \overset{iid}{\sim} N(0, \eta^2)$ and the simulated diffusion

$$X_t = \int_0^t b \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s \, dW_s \,.$$

In a first baseline scenario configuration we set $\sigma_s = 1$ constant. In a second more realistic scenario we consider

$$\sigma_t^2 = \left(\int_0^t \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \lambda \, dW_s + \int_0^t \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} \cdot \tilde{\sigma} \, dW_s^{\perp} \right) \cdot f(t) \,, \tag{43}$$

with $W^{\perp}$ a standard Brownian motion independent of W and f a deterministic seasonality function

$$f(t) = 0.1(1 - t^{\frac{1}{3}} + 0.5 \cdot t^2) \,,$$

such that $\sigma_0^2 = 0.1$. The drift is set $b = 0.1$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = 0.01$. The superposition of a continuous semimartingale as random component with a time-varying seasonality modeling volatility's typical U-shape mimics very general realistic volatility characteristics. We implement the oracle version of the estimator (24a) and the adaptive two-stage procedure with pre-estimated optimal weights. Table 1

n	σ	h_n^{-1}	η	λ	RE($\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,1}$)	RE($\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,1}$)
30000	1	25	0.01	–	1.01	1.43
5000	1	25	0.01	–	1.02	1.47
30000	Eq. (43)	25	0.01	0.5	1.09	1.75
30000	Eq. (43)	25	0.01	0.2	1.06	1.77
30000	Eq. (43)	25	0.01	0.8	1.09	1.75
30000	Eq. (43)	25	0.001	0.5	1.62	1.88
30000	Eq. (43)	25	0.1	0.5	1.20	1.69
30000	Eq. (43)	50	0.01	0.5	1.09	1.84
30000	Eq. (43)	10	0.01	0.5	1.16	1.86
5000	Eq. (43)	25	0.01	0.5	1.13	1.92
5000	Eq. (43)	50	0.01	0.5	1.08	1.75
5000	Eq. (43)	10	0.01	0.5	1.09	1.87

Table 1: Relative Efficiencies (RE) of oracle and adaptive spectral integrated volatility estimator in finite-sample Monte Carlo study.

presents Monte Carlo results for different scenario configurations. In particular, we consider different tuning parameters (bin-widths) and possible dependence of the finite-sample behavior on the leverage magnitude and the magnitude of the noise variance. We compute the estimators' root mean square errors (RMSE) at $t = 1$, for each configuration based on 1000 Monte Carlo iterations, and fix in each configuration one realization of a volatility path to compare the RMSEs to the theoretical asymptotic counterparts in the realized relative efficiency (RE):

$$\mathrm{RE}(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,1}) = \frac{\sqrt{\left((\mathrm{mean}(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,1}) - \int_0^1 \sigma_s^2\, ds)^2 + \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,1})\right) \cdot \sqrt{n}}}{\sqrt{8\eta \int_0^1 \sigma_s^3\, ds}}. \tag{44}$$

Our standard sample size is $n = 30000$, a realistic number of observations in usual high-frequency applications as number of ticks over one trading day for liquid assets at NASDAQ. We also focus on smaller samples, $n = 5000$.

Throughout all simulations we fix a maximum spectral cut-off $J = 100$ in the pre-estimation step and $J = 150$ for the final estimator, which is large enough to render the approximation error by neglecting higher frequencies negligible. In summary, the Monte Carlo study confirms that the estimator performs well in practice and the Monte Carlo variances come very close to the theoretical lower bound, even in the complex wiggly volatility setting. The fully adaptive approach performs less well than the oracle estimator which is in light of previous results on related estimation approaches not surprising, see e.g. Bibinger and Reiß (2014) for a study including an adaptive multi-scale estimator (global smoothing parameter, but chosen data-driven). Still the adaptive estimator's performance is remarkably well in almost all configurations. Under very small noise level, the relative efficiency is not as close to 1 any more. Apart from this case, the RE comes very close to 1 for the oracle estimator, not

depending on the magnitude of leverage, also for small samples, and being very robust with respect to different bin-widths.
A simulation study of the multivariate method of moments estimator in a random volatility setup can be found in Bibinger et al. (2014).

6. Proofs

6.1. Preliminaries

- *Empirical scalar products:*

Definition 1. Let $f, g : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions and $z = (z_i)_{1\leq i\leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We call the quantities

$$\langle f, g\rangle_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) ,$$
$$\langle z, g\rangle_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n z_i\, g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) ,$$

the *empirical scalar product* of f, g and of z, g, respectively. We further define the "shifted" empirical scalar products

$$[f, g]_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{i-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\right) g\left(\frac{i-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\right),$$
$$[z, g]_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n z_i\, g\left(\frac{i-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\right).$$

Recall the notation $\Delta^n Y = \left(\Delta_i^n Y\right)_{1\leq i\leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the vector of increments and analogously $\Delta^n X$ and let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{i/n})_{0\leq i\leq (n-1)}$.
To lighten notation, we presume for the following that in the one-dimensional equidistant observations setup we have on each bin $[(k-1)h_n, kh_n], k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1}$ the same number $\lfloor nh_n\rfloor = nh_n \in \mathbb{N}$ of observations. If $nh_n \notin \mathbb{N}$, either $\lfloor nh_n\rfloor$ or $\lfloor nh_n\rfloor + 1$ observations lie in a bin. By cutting-off a small interval at the end, however, we can obtain h_n^{-1} equidistant bins with equal numbers of observations per bin covering $[0, \lfloor nh_n\rfloor/(nh_n)]$. The error by excluding $(\lfloor nh_n\rfloor/(nh_n), 1]$ is asymptotically negligible. All proofs are easily generalized for arbitrary $n, h_n^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ using the exact construction introduced in Section 3.[3]

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that $nh_n \in \mathbb{N}$.[3] It holds that*

$$\langle \Phi_{jk}, \Phi_{mk}\rangle_n = \delta_{jm} , \tag{45a}$$
$$[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{mk}]_n = \delta_{jm} 4n^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{j\pi}{2nh_n}\right) , \tag{45b}$$

[3] In case that $nh_n \notin \mathbb{N}$ and for the original partition in bins and basis functions (18), (19), the discrete Fourier identities (45a) and (45b) hold with a remainder of order $(nh_n)^{-1}$ which is asymptotically negligible. For a given observation scheme it is possible to preserve exact Fourier identities redefining basis functions (18), (19) by bin-wise multiplication with $(\#\{i|i/n \in [(k-1)h_n, kh_n]\}/(nh_n))^{-1/2}$.

$$\left[\varphi_{jk}^2, \varphi_{mk}^2\right]_n = (2+\delta_{jm})\, n^2 \sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{nh_n}\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{nh_n}\right) . \tag{45c}$$

Furthermore, we have the summation by parts decomposition of spectral statistics:

$$\langle n\triangle^n Y, \Phi_{jk}\rangle_n = \langle n\triangle^n X, \Phi_{jk}\rangle_n - \left[\epsilon, \varphi_{jk}\right]_n . \tag{45d}$$

Proof. The proofs of the orthogonality relations (45a) and (45b) are similar and we restrict ourselves to prove (45b). In the following we use the shortcut $N = \lfloor nh_n \rfloor$ and without loss of generality we consider the first bin $k = 1$. We make use of the trigonometric addition formulas which yield for $N \geq j \geq r \geq 1$:

$$\cos(j\pi N^{-1}(l+\tfrac{1}{2}))\cos(r\pi N^{-1}(l+\tfrac{1}{2})) = \cos((j+r)\pi N^{-1}(l+\tfrac{1}{2}))+\cos((j-r)\pi N^{-1}(l+\tfrac{1}{2}))\,.$$

We show that $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\frac{1}{2})) = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. First, consider m odd:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (N-2)/2\rfloor}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) + \sum_{i=\lceil N/2\rceil}^{N-1}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (N-2)/2\rfloor}\Big(\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) + \cos(m\pi N^{-1}(N-(i+\tfrac{1}{2})))\Big) \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

since $\cos(x+\pi m) = -\cos(x)$ for m odd. Note that for $i = (N-1)/2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we leave out one addend which equals $\cos(m\pi/2) = 0$, and also that for m even by $\cos(x) = \cos(x+m\pi)$ the two sums are equal. Since $\cos(0) = 1$, this also implies the empirical norm for $j = r$.
For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with m even, we differentiate the cases $N = 4k, k \in \mathbb{N}$; $N = 4k+2, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $N = 4k+2$, we decompose the sum as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k}\cos(m\pi(4k+2)^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2}))+\sum_{i=2k+1}^{4k+1}\cos(m\pi(4k+2)^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2}))\,.$$

The addends of the left-hand sum are symmetric around the point $m\pi/4$ at $i = k$ and of the right-hand sum around $3m\pi/4$ at $i = 3k+1$. Thereby, both sums equal zero by symmetry. More precisely, for m being not a multiple of 4 the sums directly yield zero. If m is a multiple of 4, we can split the sum into two or more sums which then equal zero again.
This observation for the first sum readily implies $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\frac{1}{2})) = 0$ for $N = 2k+1$, since in this case

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2k}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k}\cos(2m\pi(4k+2)^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) = 0\,.$$

For $N = 4k$, we may as well exploit symmetry relations of the cosine. Decompose the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\cos(m\pi N^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1}\cos(m\pi(4k)^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2})) + \sum_{i=2k}^{4k-1}\cos(m\pi(4k)^{-1}(i+\tfrac{1}{2}))\,.$$

Symmetry around $m\pi/4$ and $3m\pi/4$ is similar as above, but these points lie off the discrete grid this time. Yet, analogous reasoning as above yields that both sums equal zero again, what completes the proof of (45b). Likewise and using

$$\begin{aligned}\cos^2(x)\cos^2(y) &= \frac{1}{4}(\cos(2x)+1)(\cos(2y)+1)\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos(2(x+y))+\frac{1}{2}\cos(2(x-y))+\cos(2x)+\cos(2y)+1\right),\end{aligned}$$

we deduce relation (45c).
Finally, we show (45d). Applying summation by parts to $\langle n\triangle^n\epsilon, \Phi_{jk}\rangle_n$ and using $\Phi_{jk}(1) = \Phi_{jk}(0) = 0$, yields

$$\langle n\triangle^n\epsilon, \Phi_{jk}\rangle_n \;=\; \sum_{l=1}^{n}\triangle_l^n\epsilon\,\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) = -\sum_{l=1}^{n}\epsilon_{\frac{l-1}{n}}\left(\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)-\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l-1}{n}\right)\right).$$

The equality $\sin(x+h)-\sin(x) = 2\sin\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)\cos\left(x+\frac{h}{2}\right)$ for $x, h\in\mathbb{R}$ gives

$$\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)-\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l-1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\varphi_{jk}\left(\frac{l-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\right)$$

what yields the claim. □

- *Extending local to uniform boundedness:*
On the compact time span $[0,1]$, we can strengthen the structural Assumption (H-1) and assume b_s, σ_s, σ_s^{-1} and the characteristics of σ_s in the semimartingale case are uniformly bounded. This is based on the localization procedure given in Jacod (2012), Lemma 6. 6 in Section 6. 3.

- *Basic estimates for drift and Brownian terms:* For all $p\geq 1$ and $s,(s+t)\in[(k-1)h_n, kh_n]$ for some $k = 1,\ldots,h_n^{-1}$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\tilde{X}_{s+t}-\tilde{X}_s\|^p\big|\mathcal{G}_s\right]\leq K_p t^{\frac{p}{2}}, \tag{46a}$$

with $\tilde{X}$ from (34b). The approximation error satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\|X_{s+t}-\tilde{X}_{s+t}-X_s+\tilde{X}_s\|^p\big|\mathcal{G}_s\right] &\leq K_p\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_s^{s+t}\|\sigma_\tau-\sigma_s\|^2\,d\tau\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big|\mathcal{G}_s\right]\\ &\quad + K_p\,\mathbb{E}\left[\Big\|\int_s^{s+t}b_u\,du\Big\|^p\Big|\mathcal{G}_s\right]\leq K_p t^p,\end{aligned} \tag{46b}$$

with generic constant K_p depending on p by Itô-isometry, Cauchy-Schwarz and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities with Assumption (H-1) and Assumption (H-d), respectively.

- *Local quadratic variations of time:*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(k-1)h_n\leq t_i^{(l)}\leq kh_n}\left(t_i^{(l)}-t_{i-1}^{(l)}\right)^2 &\asymp \sum_{(k-1)h_n\leq t_i^{(l)}\leq kh_n}(F_l^{-1})'((k-1)h_n)n_l^{-1}\left(t_i^{(l)}-t_{i-1}^{(l)}\right)\\ &= (F_l^{-1})'((k-1)h_n)n_l^{-1}h_n.\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The left-hand side is a localized measure of variation in observation times in the vein of the quadratic variation of time by Zhang et al. (2005). It appears in the variance of the estimator and is used to estimate $(F_l^{-1})'((k-1)h_n)$. Under $F_l' \in C^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 1/2$ the approximation error is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4})$. The asymptotic identity applies to deterministic observation times in deterministic manner and to random exogenous sampling in terms of convergence in probability.

- *Order of optimal weights:*
  Recall the definition of the optimal weights (22). An upper bound for these weights is

$$w_{jk} \lesssim I_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\Big)^{-2} \lesssim \Big(1+\frac{j^2}{nh_n^2}\Big)^{-2} \lesssim \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } j \le \sqrt{n}h_n \\ j^{-4}n^2h_n^4 & \text{for } j > \sqrt{n}h_n \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

  what also gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} w_{jk}\Big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\Big) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\sqrt{n}h_n\rfloor}\Big(1+\frac{j^2}{h_n^2 n}\Big) + \sum_{j=\lceil\sqrt{n}h_n\rceil}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1}\Big(1+\frac{j^2}{nh_n^2}\Big)j^{-4}n^2h_n^4 \lesssim \sqrt{n}h_n + nh_n^2\,. \tag{49}$$

6.2. **Proof of Proposition 4.1**

Recall the definition of spectral statistics (17) and denote for $j = 1,\dots,\lfloor nh_n\rfloor - 1, k = 1,\dots,h_n^{-1}$:

$$\tilde{S}_{jk} = \Big\langle n(\triangle^n\tilde{X} + \triangle^n\epsilon), \Phi_{jk}\Big\rangle_n = \Big\langle n\Delta^n\tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk}\Big\rangle_n - \left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\,,$$

where $\tilde{X}$ is the signal process in the locally parametric experiment. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\Big[\tilde{S}^2_{jk}\Big|\,\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\Big] &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\Big\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\Big\rangle_n - \left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\Big)^2\Big|\,\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\Big] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\Big\rangle_n^2 - 2\Big\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\Big\rangle_n\left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n + \left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n^2\Big|\,\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\Big] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\Big\rangle_n^2\Big|\,\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\Big] + \mathbb{E}\Big[\left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n^2\Big|\,\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\Big] \\
&= \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

We have defined ζ_k^n above such that

$$n^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big(\widetilde{\mathrm{IV}}_{n,t} - h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}\Big) = n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} w_{jk}\Big(\tilde{S}^2_{jk} - \frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n - \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\zeta_k^n$$

when we shortly express $\widetilde{\mathrm{IV}}_{n,t} = \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon)$. We have to verify (J1)-(J5). (J1) is trivial as the ζ_k^n are centered conditional on $\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}$. The proof of (J2) is done in two steps. In paragraph 6.2.1 we calculate explicitly the variance which is the left-hand side of (J2). For this we consider at first general weights $w_{jk} \ge 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} w_{jk} = 1$ which satisfy $w_{jk} \in \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}$ for all $k = 1,\dots,h_n^{-1}$, $j = 1,\dots,\lfloor nh_n\rfloor - 1$. After that we find optimal weights minimizing the variance. In paragraph 6.2.2 we let $n\to\infty$ and calculate the resulting limiting asymptotic variance. The proofs of (J3), (J4) and (J5) follow in paragraph 6.2.3.

6.2.1. **Computation of the variance**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] &= n^{\frac{1}{2}}h_n^2\sum_{j,m=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}w_{mk}\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\left(\tilde{S}_{jk}^2-\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^2\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\right)\\ &\qquad\cdot\left(\tilde{S}_{mk}^2-\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{mk}^2\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\right)\Big|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\Big]\\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2}}h_n^2\sum_{j,m=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}w_{mk}\big(T_{j,m,k}^n(1)+T_{j,m,k}^n(2)+T_{j,m,k}^n(3)\big),\end{aligned}$$

with the following three addends:

$$\begin{aligned}T_{j,m,k}^n(1) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2\right)\left(\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{mk}\right\rangle_n^2-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2\right)\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right],\\ T_{j,m,k}^n(2) &= \mathbb{E}\left[4\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{mk}\right\rangle_n[\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right],\\ T_{j,m,k}^n(3) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left([\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n^2-\frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\right)\left([\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n^2-\frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{mk},\varphi_{mk}]_n\right)\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right],\end{aligned}$$

for frequencies j,m. The i.i.d. structure of the noise and of Brownian increments yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n[\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n\right] &= \frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{mk}]_n,\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{mk}\right\rangle_n\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] &= \delta_{jm}\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2,\end{aligned}$$

which implies with independence of the noise and X that

$$T_{j,m,k}^n(2) = 4\frac{\eta^2}{n}\delta_{jm}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{mk}]_n\,\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2.$$

We further obtain by another polynomial expansion

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n^2[\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n^2\right]\\ &= n^{-4}\sum_{l,l',p,p'=1}^{n}\Big(\mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon_l\epsilon_{l'}\epsilon_p\epsilon_{p'}\right]\varphi_{jk}\Big(\frac{l-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{jk}\Big(\frac{l'-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{mk}\Big(\frac{p-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{mk}\Big(\frac{p'-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Only the cases $l=l'\neq p=p'$, $l=p\neq l'=p'$, $l=p'\neq l'=p$ or $l=l'=p=p'$ produce non-zero results in the expectation. Hence, denoting by $\eta'=\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4]$ the fourth moment of the observation errors, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n^2[\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n^2\right] &= \frac{1}{n^4}\sum_{l,l',p,p'}\left(\eta^4\left(\delta_{ll'}\delta_{pp'}+\delta_{lp}\delta_{l'p'}+\delta_{lp'}\delta_{l'p}\right)+\eta'\delta_{lp}\delta_{l'p'}\delta_{ll'}-3\eta^4\delta_{lp}\delta_{l'p'}\delta_{ll'}\right)\\ &\qquad\cdot\left(\varphi_{jk}\Big(\frac{l-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{jk}\Big(\frac{l'-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{mk}\Big(\frac{p-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{mk}\Big(\frac{p'-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\right)\\ &= \frac{\eta^4}{n^2}\left([\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n[\varphi_{mk},\varphi_{mk}]_n+2[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{mk}]_n^2\right)+\frac{\eta'-3\eta^4}{n^4}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{jk}^2\Big(\frac{l-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\varphi_{mk}^2\Big(\frac{l-\frac{1}{2}}{n}\Big)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Arguing similarly and using that $\mathbb{E}[(\triangle_l^n W)^4] = 3\,\mathbb{E}[(\triangle_l^n W)^2]$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2 \left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{mk}\right\rangle_n^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \\
&= \sigma^4_{(k-1)h_n}\left(\langle\Phi_{jk},\Phi_{jk}\rangle_n\langle\Phi_{mk},\Phi_{mk}\rangle_n + 2\langle\Phi_{jk},\Phi_{mk}\rangle_n^2\right) = \sigma^4_{(k-1)h_n}\left(1+2\delta_{jm}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From the identities so far we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T^n_{j,m,k}(1) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2 \left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{mk}\right\rangle_n^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \\
&\quad - \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{mk}\right\rangle_n^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \\
&= \sigma^4_{(k-1)h_n}\left(1+2\delta_{mj}\right) - \sigma^4_{(k-1)h_n} = 2\delta_{jm}\sigma^4_{(k-1)h_n}, \\
T^n_{j,m,k}(3) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left([\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n^2 - \frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\right)\left([\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n^2 - \frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{mk},\varphi_{mk}]_n\right)\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n^2[\epsilon,\varphi_{mk}]_n^2\right] - \frac{\eta^4}{n^2}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n[\varphi_{mk},\varphi_{mk}]_n \\
&= \frac{2\eta^4}{n^2}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{mk}]_n^2 + \frac{\eta'-3\eta^4}{n^3}\left[\varphi_{jk}^2,\varphi_{mk}^2\right]_n.
\end{aligned}$$

In all, the conditional variance is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \\
&= \sqrt{n}h_n^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}^2\left(2\sigma^4_{(k-1)h_n} + 4\frac{\eta^2}{n}\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n + \frac{2\eta^4}{n^2}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n^2\right)\right) + R_n \\
&= \sqrt{n}h_n^2\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}^2\,2\left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\right)^2 + R_n
\end{aligned}$$

with remainder R_n. Observe that $R_n = 0$ for Gaussian noise. In this case, analogous to Bibinger and Reiß (2014), we find that the optimal weights minimizing the variance, under the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk} = 1$, which ensures unbiasedness of the estimator, are given by (22). The optimization can be done with Lagrange multipliers. R_n is then a remainder in case that $\eta' \neq 3\eta^4$. With the weights (22), Cauchy-Schwarz and using (45c) and (49), we can bound R_n by:

$$R_n \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{n}h_n^2}{n^3}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}n\left|\sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{nh_n}\right)\right|\right)^2 \leq \frac{h_n^2}{n}.$$

We therefore obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] = \sqrt{n}h_n^2\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}(I_k^{-2}I_{jk}^2)I_{jk}^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1) = \sqrt{n}h_n^2\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} I_k^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

as variance of the estimator.

6.2.2. **The asymptotic variance of the estimator**

The key to the asymptotic variance is to recognize

$$(\sqrt{n}h_n)^{-1}I_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\right)^{-2}$$

as a Riemann sum, ending up with the "double-Riemann-sum" $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n((\sqrt{n}h_n)^{-1}I_k)^{-1}$. The scaling factor $(\sqrt{n}h_n)^{-1}$ is the right choice for the first Riemann sum which becomes clear after two Taylor expansions. First, expanding the sine for each frequency j we find $0\le\xi_j\le j\pi/(2nh_n)$ with

$$I_{jk}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+4\eta^2 n\left(\frac{j\pi}{2nh_n}-\frac{\xi_j^3}{6}\right)^2\right)^{-2}.$$

Second, we expand $x\mapsto\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+4\eta^2nx^2\right)^{-2}$ which yields $\frac{j\pi}{2nh_n}-\frac{\xi_j^3}{6}\le\xi_j'\le\frac{j\pi}{2nh_n}$ such that

$$I_{jk}=\tilde{I}_{jk}+R_{jk}\quad\text{with}\quad R_{jk}=\frac{4\eta^2n\xi_j'}{(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+4\eta^2n\xi_j'^2)^3}\frac{\xi_j^3}{6} \tag{51}$$

where we define $\tilde{I}_{jk}=\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\eta^2(\frac{j\pi}{\sqrt{n}h_n})^2)^{-2}$. Now it becomes clear that $\sqrt{n}h_n$ is indeed the right factor because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\sum_{j=1}^{nh_n-1}\tilde{I}_{jk}-\int_0^{\sqrt{n}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\eta^2\pi^2x^2\right)^{-2}dx\right|\\
&=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{nh_n-1}\int_{\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}^{\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\eta^2\pi^2j^2h_n^{-2}n^{-1}\big)^{-2}-\frac{1}{2}\big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\eta^2\pi^2x^2\big)^{-2}\right)dx\right|\\
&\lesssim\sum_{j=1}^{nh_n-1}\int_{\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}^{\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}\left|x-\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\right|dx\ \max_{\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\le y\le\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}\left(y\big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\eta^2\pi^2y^2\big)^{-3}\right)\\
&\le\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\right)^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{nh_n-1}\left(\max_{\frac{j-1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\le y\le\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}\left(y\big(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}+\eta^2\pi^2y^2\big)^{-3}\right)\right)\right)\\
&=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\right)^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\sqrt{n}h_n\rfloor}\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}h_n}+\sum_{j=\lceil\sqrt{n}h_n\rceil}^{nh_n-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}h_n}{j-1}\right)^5\right)\\
&\lesssim\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\right)^2\left(\sqrt{n}h_n+\sum_{j=1}^{nh_n-1-\lceil\sqrt{n}h_n\rceil}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}h_n}{j+\lceil\sqrt{n}h_n\rceil}\right)^5\right)\lesssim\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose h_n such that $\sqrt{n}h_n\to\infty$. Though we consider all possible spectral frequencies $j=1,\dots,\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1$, we shall see in the following that the I_{jk} for $j\ge\lceil n^\beta h_n\rceil$ become asymptotically negligible for a suitable $0\le\beta<1$. By virtue of monotonicity of the sine on $\left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and $\sin(x)\ge x/2$ for $0\le x\le1$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\sum_{j=\lceil n^\beta h_n\rceil}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}I_{jk}\ \lesssim\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}\sum_{j=\lceil n^\beta h_n\rceil}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}\left(n\sin^2\left(\frac{n^\beta h_n\pi}{2nh_n}\right)\right)^{-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} nh_n \left(n \sin^2 \left(\frac{n^\beta h_n \pi}{2nh_n} \right) \right)^{-2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \left(n \left(\frac{n^{\beta-1}\pi}{4} \right)^2 \right)^{-2} \lesssim n^{\frac{1}{2}-4\beta+2} = n^{\frac{5}{2}-4\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=\lceil n^\beta h_n \rceil}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} I_{jk} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, for every $5/8 < \beta < 1$. Moreover, we obtain for the first $\lfloor n^\beta h_n \rfloor$ summands of the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n^\beta h_n \rfloor} R_{jk} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n^\beta h_n \rfloor} \frac{4\eta^2 n \xi_j'}{\left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + 4\eta^2 n \xi_j'^2 \right)^3} \frac{\xi_j^3}{6} \lesssim \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n^\beta h_n \rfloor} \left(\xi_j^3 \xi_j' \right) \\ &\leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n^\beta h_n \rfloor} \left(\frac{j\pi}{nh_n} \right)^4 \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} n^\beta h_n n^{4(\beta-1)+1} = n^{5\beta-\frac{7}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n^\beta h_n \rfloor} R_{jk} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ for every $\beta < 7/10$. As the tails are asymptotic negligible we thus have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} R_{jk} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and, in particular,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} I_{jk} = \int_0^{\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \eta^2 \pi^2 x^2 \right)^{-2} dx + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Computing the integral expression yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^y \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n} + \eta^2 \pi^2 x^2 \right)^{-2} dx = \\ &\frac{y}{4 \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^4 \left(1 + \left(\frac{\eta\pi}{\left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|} y \right)^2 \right)} + \frac{1}{4\eta\pi \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^3} \arctan \left(\frac{\eta\pi}{\left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|} y \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $c < |\sigma_s| < C$ uniformly for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$ with constants c, C and because $\arctan(x) \to \pi/2$ as $x \to \infty$, as well as $\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} I_{jk} = &\frac{\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n}}{4 \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^4 \left(1 + \left(\frac{\eta\pi}{\left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|} \left(\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \right) \right)^2 \right)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\eta\pi \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^3} \arctan \left(\frac{\eta\pi}{\left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|} \left(\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ = &\frac{1}{8\eta \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^3} + \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

The final step in the proof is another Taylor approximation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E} \left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] = \sqrt{n} h_n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} I_k^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1) = h_n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} I_{jk} \right)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$= h_n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{8\eta \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^3} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$
$$= \left(h_n \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} 8\eta \left| \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right|^3 \right) + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{52}$$

The last equality is true by Taylor and because σ is uniformly bounded. Because σ is continuous we obtain the claim by Riemann approximation, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \to 8\eta \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^3 \, ds$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$ establishing (J2) with the asymptotic expression of Theorem 1.

6.2.3. **Lyapunov's criterion and stability of convergence**

So far, we have proved (J1) and (J2). Next, we shall prove that the Lyapunov condition (J3) is satisfied. For the sum of fourth moments, we obtain by Minkowski's inequality, Jensen's inequality and $w_{jk} \in \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^4 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] &= (nh_n)^4 \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(\tilde{S}_{jk}^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right) \right)^4 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right) \\
&\leq nh_n^4 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\tilde{S}_{jk}^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right)^4 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^4 \\
&\lesssim nh_n^4 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^8 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^4 .
\end{aligned}$$

If we can show

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \right\rangle_n^8 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \lesssim \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^8, \tag{53}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[[\epsilon, \varphi_{jk}]_n^8 \right] \lesssim \left(\eta^2\right)^4 \frac{[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}]_n^4}{n^4}, \tag{54}$$

then we are able to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^8 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] &\lesssim \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\Delta^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \right\rangle_n^8 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[[\epsilon, \varphi_{jk}]_n^8 \right] \\
&\lesssim \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^8 + \left(\eta^2\right)^4 \frac{[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}]_n^4}{n^4} \lesssim \left(\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2 + \eta^2 \frac{[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}]_n}{n} \right)^4 . \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain from (49)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^4 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}} nh_n^4 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2 + \frac{\eta^2}{n} [\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}]_n \right) \right)^4 \lesssim n^2 h_n^6 = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

which proves (J3). We are therefore left with proving (53) and (54). The first inequality holds because $\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk}\rangle_n$ is $N(0, \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n})$-distributed conditional on $\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}$. In order to see why the second inequality is satisfied, let $g_l = \epsilon_{l/n}\varphi_{jk}((l-\frac{1}{2})/n)$ for $l = 1, \ldots, n$. The g_l are independent and centered such that for any $1 \leq l_1, \ldots, l_8 \leq n$ with $\mathbb{E}[g_{l_1} \cdots g_{l_8}] \neq 0$, referring to observations on the same bin, each g_l appears at least twice and there are at most four distinct g_l. If there are exactly four distinct g_l, e.g. $l_1 = l_2, l_3 = l_4, l_5 = l_6, l_7 = l_8$, we arrive at the bound

$$\sum_{l_1, l_3, l_5, l_7} \mathbb{E}\left[g_{l_1} \cdots g_{l_8}\right] \leq \quad \left(\eta^2\right)^4 n^4 \left[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}\right]_n^4 .$$

The leading term in (54) does not include eighth moments of the noise, but the fourth power of the second moment which we denote $(\eta^2)^4$ to prevent any confusion. If there are less than four distinct g_l, we obtain from (45b) and (45c) with the assumption $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^8] < \infty$, that the respective sums are asymptotically of smaller order. The terms with eighth moments are thus negligible. This implies (54):

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\epsilon, \varphi_{jk}\right]_n^8\right] = n^{-8} \sum_{1 \leq l_1, \ldots, l_8 \leq nh_n} \mathbb{E}\left[g_{l_1} \cdots g_{l_8}\right] \lesssim \left(\eta^2\right)^4 \frac{\left[\varphi_{jk}, \varphi_{jk}\right]_n^4}{n^4}.$$

It remains to verify (J4) and (J5). The proof follows a similar strategy as the proofs of Proposition 5.10, step 4, of Jacod et al. (2010) and Lemma 5.7 of Jacod et al. (2009). It is sufficient to show with $\delta_k^n(M) = M_{kh_n} - M_{(k-1)h_n}$ that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k^n \delta_k^n\left(M\right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \tag{56}$$

for any $M \in \mathcal{N}$, the set of square-integrable $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$-martingales. Note that (56) is closed under L^2-convergence with respect to the terminal variables $M_1 \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$ for $M \in \mathcal{N}$ what follows by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Define subsets $\mathcal{N}^0, \mathcal{N}^1, \mathcal{N}^2$ of $\mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}^0$ is the space of all square-integrable martingales adapted to $\mathcal{W} = \sigma(W_s : s \leq 1)$, i.e. every such martingale has the form $C + \int_0^t h_s \, dW_s$ for some constant C and a predictable square-integrable process $h \in \mathcal{W}$. $\mathcal{N}^1$ is the set of all square-integrable $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-martingales which are orthogonal to W, and $\mathcal{N}^2$ is the space of all square-integrable martingales adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{E}_t = \sigma(\epsilon_s : s \leq t)$, generated by the noise process. Then the set of square-integrable martingales of the form $M \cdot N$, for $M \in \mathcal{N}^0 \cup \mathcal{N}^1$, $N \in \mathcal{N}^2$, is total in $\mathcal{N}$ (by independence any process of the form $M \cdot N$ is again a martingale) and it is enough to show (56) for such processes. Using the decomposition

$$\delta_k^n\left(MN\right) = \delta_k^n\left(M\right)\delta_k^n\left(N\right) + N_{(k-1)h_n}\delta_k^n\left(M\right) + M_{(k-1)h_n}\delta_k^n\left(N\right) \tag{57}$$

we have by independence of W and noise for any $k = 1, \ldots, h_n^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k^n \delta_k^n\left(MN\right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \\
&= n^{1/4} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^2 \delta_k^n\left(MN\right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\delta_k^n\left(MN\right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\right) \\
&= n^{1/4} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n \rfloor - 1} w_{jk} \left(N_{(k-1)h_n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2 \delta_k^n\left(M\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$- 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\delta_k^n(M)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\delta_k^n(N)\middle|\mathcal{E}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]$$
$$+ M_{(k-1)h_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n^2\delta_k^n(N)\middle|\mathcal{E}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\Bigg).$$

Let first $M\in\mathcal{N}^0$. As $\mathcal{N}^0$ is closed and because the case M constant is trivial, we can assume that $M=\int_0^{\cdot}\gamma_s\,dW_s$ for γ bounded, adapted to $\mathcal{W}$ and piecewise constant on intervals $(T_q,T_{q+1}]$ for some $0=T_0<T_1<\ldots,T_m=1$, $m\geq 1$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2\delta_k^n(M)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \tag{58}$$
$$=\sum_{l,p=1}^{n}\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}\sum_{q=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\left[\triangle_l^nW\triangle_p^nW\gamma_{t_q}\left(W_{T_{q+1}\wedge kh_n}-W_{T_q\vee(k-1)h_n}\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\Phi_{jk}\Big(\frac{l}{n}\Big)\Phi_{jk}\Big(\frac{p}{n}\Big).$$

For n large enough there is at most one T_q per bin. If there is no T_q on the kth bin, the conditional expectation above vanishes by independence of the Brownian increments for any l,p. On the other hand, there are only m bins containing some T_q and for every such bin the left-hand side of (58) is bounded, what can be seen e.g. by (53) and because M is square-integrable. Hence,

$$n^{1/4}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}N_{(k-1)h_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n^2\delta_k^n(M)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1). \tag{59}$$

Next, let $M\in\mathcal{N}^1$, i.e. M is orthogonal to W. The left-hand side in (58) is now equal to

$$\sum_{l,p,q=1}^{n}\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\triangle_l^nW\triangle_p^nW\triangle_q^nM\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\Phi_{jk}\Big(\frac{l}{n}\Big)\Phi_{jk}\Big(\frac{p}{n}\Big).$$

The conditional expectation vanishes, except for $l=p=q$, $p<l=q$ or $l<p=q$. For $l=p=q$ we obtain by Itô's formula

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\triangle_l^nW\right)^2\triangle_l^nM\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left(\triangle_l^nW\right)^2-\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\triangle_l^nM\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]$$
$$+\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\triangle_l^nM\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}W_s\,dW_s\right)\triangle_l^nM\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right].$$

However, $((\int_0^t W_s\,dW_s)\cdot M_t)_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-martingale by orthogonality such that the last expression vanishes. The cases $p<l=q$ and $l<p=q$ follow similarly. Hence, (59) is still satisfied; the left-hand side is actually zero.

With respect to N, as $\mathcal{N}^2$ is closed, we can assume without loss of generality that $N_1=f(\epsilon_{T_1},\ldots,\epsilon_{T_{m'}})$ for some measurable function f and some $0\leq T_1<\cdots<T_{m'}\leq 1$, $m'\geq 1$. Similar as before, for n large there is at most one $T_{q'}$ per bin. On any bin not containing such a $T_{q'}$ it holds that $\delta_k^n(N)=0$. Bounding the terms for the m' other bins yields for $M\in\mathcal{N}^1\cup\mathcal{N}^2$

$$n^{1/4}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}M_{(k-1)h_n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n^2\delta_k^n(N)\middle|\mathcal{E}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1). \tag{60}$$

From the previous discussion we further see that for all but at most $m+m'$ blocks:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{nh_n-1} w_{jk}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n \delta_k^n(M)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\delta_k^n(N)\middle|\mathcal{E}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]=0,$$

whereas bounds on the remaining $m+m'$ blocks guarantee that the cross terms tend to zero in probability. We conclude that (56) holds. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

6.3. **Proof of Proposition 4.2**

We first give a general outline of the proof, deferring some technical details to the end of this section. By Taylor we have for all $k=1,\dots,h_n^{-1}$ and $j=1,\dots,\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1$, the existence of random variables ξ_{jk} such that $S_{jk}^2-\tilde{S}_{jk}^2=2\tilde{S}_{jk}(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk})+2(\xi_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk})(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk})$ and $|\xi_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}|\leq|S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}|$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(Y)-\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon)\right) &= n^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big(h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\left(S_{jk}^2-\tilde{S}_{jk}^2\right)\Big)\\
&= \Big(n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\left(2\tilde{S}_{jk}\left(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)\right)\Big)\\
&+ \Big(n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\left(\xi_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)\left(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second sum above, which we denote by Z_t^n, we obtain by the Markov inequality and Step 1 below for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1}|Z_t^n|>\varepsilon\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left(n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\left|S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right|^2\right)>\varepsilon\right)\\
&\leq \varepsilon^{-1}n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)^2\right]\\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1}n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T_k^n=\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_{jk}\left(2\tilde{S}_{jk}\left(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)\right)$ and write the first sum above as $M_t^n+R_t^n$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t^n &= n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\left(T_k^n-\mathbb{E}\left[T_k^n\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\right),\\
R_t^n &= n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\mathbb{E}\left[T_k^n\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

In Step 2 we show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_nT_k^n\right)^2\right]\to 0,\quad n\to\infty.$$

A well known result thereby yields $M_t^n \xrightarrow{ucp} 0$. Finally, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\left(2\tilde{S}_{jk}\left(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)\right)\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\\
&=\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n-[\epsilon,\varphi_{jk}]_n\right)\left\langle n\triangle^n\left(X-\tilde{X}\right),\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\\
&=\mathbb{E}\left[2\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\left\langle n\triangle^n\left(X-\tilde{X}\right),\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the noise terms vanish, thereby simplifying the following calculations.
Write $\mathbb{E}[(2\tilde{S}_{jk}(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}))|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}]$ as the sum $D_{jk}^n+V_{jk}^n$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{jk}^n &= \mathbb{E}\left[2\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\left(\sum_{l=1}^n\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}b_s\,ds\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\right)\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right],\\
V_{jk}^n &= \mathbb{E}\left[2\left\langle n\triangle^n\tilde{X},\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n\left(\sum_{l=1}^n\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}\left(\sigma_s-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\right)\,dW_s\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\right)\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

In Step 3 we show that $\left|D_{jk}^n+V_{jk}^n\right|\lesssim h_n^\beta$ for some $\beta>1/2$. This yields immediately

$$\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|R_t^n| \;\le\; n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n\sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}\left|D_{jk}^n+V_{jk}^n\right|\lesssim n^{\frac{1}{4}}h_n^\beta=\mathcal{O}(1),$$

implying ucp-convergence. We therefore conclude that

$$n^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(Y)-\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(\tilde{X}+\epsilon)\right)\xrightarrow{ucp}0,\;\; n\to\infty.$$

The second claim

$$n^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^t\left(\sigma_s^2-\sigma^2_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1}\rfloor h_n}\right)ds\xrightarrow{ucp}0,\;\; n\to\infty,$$

follows on Assumption (H-1) for both, Hölder smooth and semimartingale volatility, by Equations (2) and (3). This proves Proposition 4.2. We end this section with detailed proofs of Steps 1 – 3.

Step 1: $\mathbb{E}[(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk})^4]\lesssim h_n^2$.
Using the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk} &= \left\langle n\triangle^n(X-\tilde{X}),\Phi_{jk}\right\rangle_n \qquad (61)\\
&=\sum_{l=1}^n\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}b_s\,ds\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^n\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}\left(\sigma_s-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\right)\,dW_s\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

into drift and volatility terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(S_{jk}-\tilde{S}_{jk}\right)^4\right]\lesssim\;& \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^n\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}b_s\,ds\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\right)^4\right]\\
&+\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^n\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}\left(\sigma_s-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\right)\,dW_s\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\right)^4\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The first addend is bounded by h_n^2. For the second let $\kappa_l = \int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} \left(\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\right) dW_s$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}\left(\sigma_s-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\right)dW_s\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\right)^4\right]\\
&=\sum_{l,l',p,p'}\mathbb{E}\left[\kappa_l\kappa_{l'}\kappa_p\kappa_{p'}\right]\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l'}{n}\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{p'}{n}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The only choices for l,l',p,p' with non-vanishing results are $l,l'<p=p'$, $l<l'=p=p'$ and $l=l'=p=p'$. In all three cases we can conclude by the Burkholder inequality and (46b) that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\kappa_l\kappa_{l'}\kappa_p\kappa_{p'}\right]\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l'}{n}\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{p'}{n}\right)\right|\lesssim n^{-4}h_n^{-2}.$$

Observe that in any of the three mentioned cases we find at least two identical integers l,l',p or p'. In all, there are $\lfloor nh_n\rfloor\cdot\binom{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}{2}\cdot 4!$ possibilities to choose such indices. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}}\left(\sigma_s-\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\right)dW_s\right)\Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)\right)^4\right]\lesssim (nh_n)^3\,n^{-4}h_n^{-2}=n^{-1}h_n\lesssim h_n^2$$

and therefore the claim holds.

Step 2: $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\mathbb{E}[(n^{\frac14}h_nT_k^n)^2]\to 0,\quad n\to\infty$.

Applying the Minkowski and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|n^{\frac14}h_n\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}\left(2\tilde S_{jk}\left(S_{jk}-\tilde S_{jk}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2\\
&\le n^{\frac12}h_n^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}\left\|w_{jk}\left(2\tilde S_{jk}\left(S_{jk}-\tilde S_{jk}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}\right)^2\\
&\le n^{\frac12}h_n^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde S_{jk}^4\right]\right)^{\frac14}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(S_{jk}-\tilde S_{jk}\right)^4\right]\right)^{\frac14}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By Step 1 we already know that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(S_{jk}-\tilde S_{jk}\right)^4\right]\lesssim h_n^2$. Because σ is bounded, we obtain by (55) the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde S_{jk}^4\right]&\le\mathbb{E}^{\frac12}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde S_{jk}^8\middle|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right]\right]\lesssim\mathbb{E}^{\frac12}\left[\left(\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^2+\frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\right)^4\right]\\
&\lesssim\left(1+\frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\right)^2\le\left(1+\frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\right)^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (49) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(n^{\frac14}h_nT_k^n\right)^2\right]\lesssim n^{\frac12}h_n^3\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}w_{jk}\left(1+\frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n\right)\right)^2$$

$$\lesssim n^{\frac{1}{2}} h_n^2 \cdot n^2 h_n^4 = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Step 3: $\left| D_{jk}^n + V_{jk}^n \right| \lesssim h_n^\beta$ for some $\beta > 1/2$.

Expanding the sums in V_{jk}^n and Itô isometry yield

$$\begin{aligned} V_{jk}^n &= \sum_{l,m=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\triangle_l^n \tilde{X} \left(\int_{\frac{m-1}{n}}^{\frac{m}{n}} \left(\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right) \, dW_s \right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n} \right) \Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{m}{n} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} \left(\sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \left(\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right) \right) \, ds \right] \Phi_{jk}^2\left(\frac{l}{n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption (H-1) for $s \in [(k-1)h_n, kh_n]$:

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \left(\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \right) \right) \right] \right| = \left| \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right] \right| \lesssim h_n^\alpha,$$

and hence by Fubini $|V_{jk}| \lesssim h_n^\alpha$, as well. With respect to D_{jk}^n, we need an additional approximation. By Assumption (H-1), decomposing $b_s = g(b_s^A, b_s^B)$ into Hölder and semimartingale part we have by the boundedness of $\mathbb{E}[|\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \rangle_n|]$ from (53):

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \right\rangle_n \int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} b_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \right\rangle_n \int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} \left(b_s - b_{(k-1)h_n} \right) \, ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right| \\ &+ \left| \frac{b_{(k-1)h_n}}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \right\rangle_n \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right| \lesssim h_n^{\nu \wedge \frac{1}{2}} n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this bound we find that

$$\left| D_{jk}^n \right| \leq \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \mathbb{E}\left[2 \left\langle n\triangle^n \tilde{X}, \Phi_{jk} \right\rangle_n \int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} b_s \, ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n} \right] \right| \left| \Phi_{jk}\left(\frac{l}{n} \right) \right| \lesssim h_n^{(\nu \wedge \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

We obtain the claim with $\beta = \min\left\{ (\nu \wedge \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha \right\}$. This is the only time we need the smoothness of the drift in Assumption (H-1).

6.4. **Proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 for oracle estimation**

We decompose X similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1:

$$X_t = X_0 + \bar{B}_t + \tilde{B}_t + \bar{C}_t + \tilde{C}_t, \tag{62}$$

where we denote

$$\bar{B}_t = \int_0^t b_{\lfloor s h_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n} \, ds \, , \; \tilde{B}_t = \int_0^t (b_s - b_{\lfloor s h_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n}) \, ds \, , \tag{63a}$$

$$\bar{C}_t = \int_0^t \sigma_{\lfloor s h_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n} \, dW_s \, , \; \tilde{C}_t = \int_0^t (\sigma_s - \sigma_{\lfloor s h_n^{-1} \rfloor h_n}) \, dW_s \, . \tag{63b}$$

In order to establish a functional CLT, we decompose the estimation errors of (33a) (and likewise (30a)) in the following way:

$$\mathbf{LMM}^{or}_{n,t}(Y) - \mathrm{vec}\Big(\int_0^t \Sigma_s\, ds\Big) = \mathbf{LMM}^{or}_{n,t}(\bar{C}+\epsilon) - \mathrm{vec}\Big(\int_0^t \Sigma_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1}\rfloor h_n}\, ds\Big) \tag{64a}$$

$$+\,\mathbf{LMM}^{or}_{n,t}(Y) - \mathbf{LMM}^{or}_{n,t}(\bar{C}+\epsilon) - \mathrm{vec}\Big(\int_0^t \big(\Sigma_s - \Sigma_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1}\rfloor h_n}\big)\, ds\Big). \tag{64b}$$

One crucial step to cope with multi-dimensional non-synchronous data is Lemma 4.4 which is proved next. Below, we give a concise proof of the functional CLTs for the estimators (33a) and (30a), where after restricting to a synchronous reference scheme many steps develop as direct extensions of the one-dimensional case. The stable CLTs for the *leading terms*, namely the right-hand side of (64a) and the analogue for estimator (30a), are established in paragraph 6.4.2. The *remainder terms* (64b) and their analogues are handled in paragraph 6.4.3.

6.4.1. **Proof of Lemma 4.4**

Consider for $l, m = 1, \ldots, d$, observation times $t_i^{(l)} = F_l^{-1}(i/n_l)$ and $t_i^{(m)} = F_m^{-1}(i/n_m)$. Define a next-tick interpolation function by

$$t_+^{(l)}(s) = \min\Big(t_v^{(l)}, v = 0, \ldots, n_l | t_v^{(l)} \geq s\Big), l = 1, \ldots, d,$$

and analogously a previous-tick interpolation function by

$$t_-^{(l)}(s) = \max\Big(t_v^{(l)}, v = 0, \ldots, n_l | t_v^{(l)} \leq s\Big), l = 1, \ldots, d.$$

We decompose increments of $X^{(l)}$ between adjacent observation times $t_{v-1}^{(l)}, t_v^{(l)}, v = 1, \ldots, n_l$, in the sum of increments of $X^{(l)}$ over all time intervals $[t_{i-1}^{(m)}, t_i^{(m)}]$ contained in $[t_{v-1}^{(l)}, t_v^{(l)}]$ and the remaining time intervals at the left $\big[t_{v-1}^{(l)}, t_+^{(m)}\big(t_{v-1}^{(l)}\big)\big]$ and the right border $\big[t_-^{(m)}\big(t_v^{(l)}\big), t_v^{(l)}\big]$:

$$X^{(l)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}} = \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_-^{(m)}(t_v^{(l)})}\Big) + \sum_{\Delta_i t^{(m)} \subset \Delta_v t^{(l)}} \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_i^{(m)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{i-1}^{(m)}}\Big) + \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_+^{(m)}(t_{v-1}^{(l)})} - X^{(l)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}}\Big).$$

If there is only one observation of $X^{(m)}$ in $[t_{v-1}^{(l)}, t_v^{(l)}]$, set $\sum_{\Delta_i t^{(m)} \subset \Delta_v t^{(l)}} \big(X^{(l)}_{t_i^{(m)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{i-1}^{(m)}}\big) = 0$.
If there is no observation of $X^{(m)}$ in $[t_{v-1}^{(l)}, t_v^{(l)}]$ we take the union of a set of intervals $\bigcup_{v\in V}[t_{v-1}^{(l)}, t_v^{(l)}]$ which contains at least one observation time of $X^{(m)}$. We use an expansion of $\Phi_{jk}(t) - \Phi_{jk}(s)$. By virtue of $\sin(t) - \sin(s) = 2\cos((t+s)/2)\sin((t-s)/2)$ and the sine expansion, we obtain for $s, t \in [kh_n, (k+1)h_n)$:

$$\Phi_{jk}(t) - \Phi_{jk}(s) \asymp \sqrt{2} h_n^{-3/2} j\pi \cos\big(j\pi h_n^{-1}(\tfrac{t+s}{2} - kh_n)\big)(t-s). \tag{65}$$

In particular, for $t - s = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ we have that $\Phi_{jk}(t) - \Phi_{jk}(s) = \mathcal{O}\big(\varphi_{jk}(\frac{t+s}{2})n^{-1}\big)$.
With $u_v^{(m)} = (1/2)(t_+^{(m)}(t_v^{(l)}) - t_-^{(m)}(t_v^{(l)}))$ and $\tilde{u}_v^{(m)} = (1/2)(t_+^{(m)}(t_{v-1}^{(l)}) - t_-^{(m)}(t_{v-1}^{(l)}))$, we infer

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w_{jk}^{l,m} \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_i^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{i-1}^{(l)}}\Big) X^{(l)} \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_i^{(l)}) \sum_{v=1}^{n_m} \Big(X^{(m)}_{t_v^{(m)}} - X^{(m)}_{t_{v-1}^{(m)}}\Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_v^{(m)})$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w_{jk}^{l,m} \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_i^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{i-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar t_i^{(l)}) \sum_{v=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(m)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(m)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar t_v^{(l)}) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w_{jk}^{l,m} \sum_{v=1}^{n_l} \Big(X^{(l)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(l)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \Phi_{jk}(\bar t_v^{(l)}) \\
&\times \Big(\sum_{\Delta_i t^{(m)} \subset \Delta_v t^{(l)}} \Big(X^{(m)}_{t_i^{(m)}} - X^{(m)}_{t_{i-1}^{(m)}} \Big) (\Phi_{jk}(\bar t_i^{(m)}) - \Phi_{jk}(\bar t_v^{(l)})) + \\
&\Big(X^{(m)}_{t_+^{(m)}(t_{v-1}^{(l)})} - X^{(m)}_{t_{v-1}^{(l)}} \Big) \big(\Phi_{jk}(\tilde u_v^{(m)}) - \Phi_{jk}(\bar t_v^{(l)}) \big) + \Big(X^{(m)}_{t_v^{(l)}} - X^{(m)}_{t_-^{(m)}(t_v^{(l)})} \Big) \big(\Phi_{jk}(u_v^{(m)}) - \Phi_{jk}(\bar t_v^{(l)}) \big) \Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the observation times are independent of X according to Assumption (Obs-d), we can employ basic estimates (46a) and (46b) for the above increments of X. Applying the bound (65), we find that the order of the last summand is $\sum_k h_n \sum_j w_{jk}^{l,m} j/(nh_n)$ and since for all weights the bound (48) holds we conclude that the approximation error is uniformly of order $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(h_n) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})$.

6.4.2. **Leading terms**

This paragraph develops the asymptotics for the right-hand side of (64a) and the sum of the increments in (42). Observe that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_l-1} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(l)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(l)} - t_{i-1}^{(l)}}{2} \Big)^2 \asymp \sum_{i=1}^{n_l-1} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(l)}\big) \tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(l)} - t_{i-1}^{(l)}}{2} \tfrac{(F_l^{-1})'(t_i^{(l)})}{n_l} \asymp \Big(\int_0^1 \varphi_{jk}^2(t)\, dt \Big) \tfrac{H_l^{kh_n}}{\eta_l^2} \,. \tag{66}
$$

The left approximation uses $(t_{i+1}^{(l)} - t_i^{(l)})/2 = (H_l^{kh_n} + \mathcal{O}(h_n^\alpha))/(\eta_l^2 n_l)$ as in (47) with $\alpha > 1/2$ by Assumption (Obs-d). Writing the integral on the right-hand side as sum over the subintervals and using mean value theorem, the differences when passing to the arguments $(t_i^{(l)})_i$ induce approximation errors of order $jh_n^{-1}n^{-1}$. Thus, the total approximation errors are of order $(h_n^\alpha + j(nh_n)^{-1})j^2(nh_n^2)^{-1}$. We focus on the oracle versions of (33a) and (30a) with their deterministic optimal weights. The proof follows the same methodology as the proof of Proposition 4.1 after restricting to a synchronous reference observation scheme. We concisely go through the details for cross terms and the proof for the bivariate spectral covolatility estimator.

We apply Theorem 3-1 of Jacod (1997) (or equivalently Theorem 2.6 in Podolskij and Vetter (2010)) again. For the spectral estimator (30a), consider

$$
\zeta_k^n = n^{1/4}\, h_n \Big(\sum_{j\geq 1} w_{jk}^{p,q} \zeta_{jk}^{(pq)} - \Sigma^{(pq)}_{(k-1)h_n} \Big) , \tag{67}
$$

with the random variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{jk}^{(pq)} = \Bigg(& \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \Delta_i^n \bar C^{(p)} \Phi_{jk}\big(\bar t_i^{(p)}\big) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_p-1} \epsilon^{(p)}_{t_i^{(p)}} \varphi_{jk}\big(t_i^{(p)}\big) \tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(p)} - t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2} \Big) \\
& \times \Big(\sum_{v=1}^{n_q} \Delta_v^n \bar C^{(q)} \Phi_{jk}\big(\bar t_v^{(q)}\big) - \sum_{v=1}^{n_q-1} \epsilon^{(q)}_{t_v^{(q)}} \varphi_{jk}\big(t_v^{(q)}\big) \tfrac{t_{v+1}^{(q)} - t_{v-1}^{(q)}}{2} \Big) \Bigg) - \pi^2 j^2 h_n^{-2} \delta_{p,q} \hat H_p^{kh_n} \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}
$$

The accordance with (42) follows from a generalization of the summation by parts identity (45d):

$$
S_{jk}^{(p)} \asymp_p - \sum_{v=1}^{n_p-1} Y_v^{(p)} \Big(\Phi_{jk}\big(\bar t_{v+1}^{(p)}\big) - \Phi_{jk}\big(\bar t_v^{(p)}\big) \Big)
$$

$$\asymp_p - \sum_{v=1}^{n_p-1} Y_v^{(p)} \varphi_{jk}(t_v^{(p)}) \frac{t_{v+1}^{(p)} - t_{v-1}^{(p)}}{2} \,.$$

In the first relation the remainder is only due to end-effects as $\bar{t}_1^{(p)} \neq 0$ and $\bar{t}_{n_p}^{(p)} \neq 1$ and asymptotically negligible. Also, the second remainder by application of mean value theorem and passing to arguments $t_v^{(p)}$ is asymptotically negligible. This remainder can be treated as the approximation error between discrete and continuous-time norm of the (φ_{jk}) in the following.
By Lemma 4.4 we may without loss of generality work under synchronous observations $t_i, i = 0, \dots, n$, when considering the signal part X. Set $\bar{t}_i = (t_{i+1} - t_i)/2$. We shall write in the sequel terms of the signal part as coming from observations on a synchronous grid (t_i), while keeping to the actual grids for the noise terms. For the expectation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_{jk}^{(pq)}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{jk}^2(\bar{t}_i) \mathbb{E}\big[\Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(p)} \Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(q)}\big] \\
&+ \sum_{i,v=1}^{(n_p \vee n_q)-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon_{t_i^{(p)}}^{(p)} \epsilon_{t_i^{(q)}}^{(q)}\right] \varphi_{jk}\big(t_i^{(p)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(p)} - t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2}\Big) \varphi_{jk}\big(t_v^{(q)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{v+1}^{(q)} - t_{v-1}^{(q)}}{2}\Big) - \pi^2 j^2 h_n^{-2} \delta_{p,q} \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{H}_p^{kh_n}\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{jk}^2(\bar{t}_i)(t_{i+1} - t_i) \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(pq)} + \delta_{p,q} \left(\eta_p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(p)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(p)} - t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2}\Big)^2 - \pi^2 j^2 h_n^{-2} H_p^{kh_n} \right) \\
&= \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(pq)} + R_{n,k}
\end{aligned}$$

by Itô isometry. The remainders due to the approximation (66) satisfy with (48) uniformly

$$R_{n,k} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} h_n \rfloor} j^2 n^{-1} h_n^{-2} \big(h_n^\alpha + j n^{-1} h_n^{-1}\big) + \sum_{\lceil \sqrt{n} h_n \rceil}^{\lfloor n h_n \rfloor - 1} \big(j^{-1} h_n + j^{-2} h_n^2 n h_n^\alpha\big) = \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-1/4}\big) \,.$$

Since $\sum_{j \geq 1} w_{jk}^{p,q} = 1$, asymptotic unbiasedness is ensured:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t h_n^{-1} \rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_k^n \middle| \mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t h_n^{-1} \rfloor} n^{1/4} h_n \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} w_{jk}^{p,q} \mathbb{E}[\zeta_{jk}^{(pq)}] - \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(pq)} \right) \xrightarrow{ucp} 0 \,.$$

We now determine the asymptotic variance expression in (11):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big(\zeta_{jk}^{(pq)}\big) = &\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{jk}^2(\bar{t}_i)(t_{i+1} - t_i) \Big)^2 \big(\big(\Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(pq)}\big)^2 + \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(pp)} \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(qq)} \big) \\
&+ \eta_p^2 \eta_q^2 \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n_p-1} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(p)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(p)} - t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2}\Big)^2 \Big) \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n_q-1} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(q)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(q)} - t_{i-1}^{(q)}}{2}\Big)^2 \Big) \\
&+ \Bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{jk}^2(\bar{t}_i)(t_{i+1} - t_i) \Big(\eta_p^2 \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(qq)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p-1} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(p)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(p)} - t_{i-1}^{(p)}}{2}\Big)^2 \\
&+ \eta_m^2 \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^{(pp)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_q-1} \varphi_{jk}^2\big(t_i^{(q)}\big) \Big(\tfrac{t_{i+1}^{(q)} - t_{i-1}^{(q)}}{2}\Big)^2 \Big) \Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\asymp \left(\Sigma^{(pq)}_{(k-1)h_n}\right)^2 + \Sigma^{(pp)}_{(k-1)h_n}\Sigma^{(qq)}_{(k-1)h_n} + \pi^2 j^2 h_n^{-2}\left(H_p^{kh_n}\Sigma^{(qq)}_{(k-1)h_n} + H_q^{kh_n}\Sigma^{(pp)}_{(k-1)h_n}\right) + \pi^4 j^4 h_n^{-4} H_p^{kh_n} H_q^{kh_n},$$

where the remainder is negligible by the same bounds as for the bias above. The sum of conditional variances with $w^{p,q}_{jk} = I_k^{-1} I_{jk}$, $I_k = \sum_{j\geq 1} I_{jk}$, thus yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \,|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] + \mathcal{O}(1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n^2 n^{1/2} \sum_{j\geq 1} \left(w^{(pq)}_{jk}\right)^2 \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\left(\zeta^{(pq)}_{jk}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n^2 n^{1/2} \sum_{j\geq 1} I_{jk} I_k^{-2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n^2 n^{1/2} I_k^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

As $h_n\sqrt{n} \to \infty$, we obtain an asymptotic expression as the solution of an integral

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[(\zeta_k^n)^2 \,|\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n(\sqrt{n}h_n) I_k^{-1} \to \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\infty (f(\Sigma, \mathcal{H}(t), \nu_p, \nu_q; z))^{-1} dz\right)^{-1} ds$$

with a continuous limit function f which is the same as in Bibinger and Reiß (2014). Computing the solution of the integral using the explicit form of I_k and f yields the variance $\int_0^t \left(v_s^{(p,q)}\right)^2 ds$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\left(v_s^{(p,q)}\right)^2 = 2\Big((F_p^{-1})'(s)(F_q^{-1})'(s)\nu_p\nu_q(A_s^2 - B_s)B_s\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \left(\sqrt{A_s + \sqrt{A_s^2 - B_s}} - \mathrm{sgn}(A_s^2 - B_s)\sqrt{A_s - \sqrt{A_s^2 - B_s}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and the terms

$$A_s = \Sigma_s^{(pp)} \frac{(F_q^{-1})'(s)\nu_q}{(F_p^{-1})'(s)\nu_p} + \Sigma_s^{(qq)} \frac{(F_p^{-1})'(s)\nu_p}{(F_q^{-1})'(s)\nu_q},$$

$$B_s = 4\left(\Sigma_s^{(pp)}\Sigma_s^{(qq)} + \left(\Sigma_s^{(pq)}\right)^2\right).$$

The detailed computation is carried out in Bibinger and Reiß (2014) and we omit it here. Contrarily to the one-dimensional case, in the cross term there is no effect of non-Gaussian noise on the variance because fourth noise moments do not occur and because of component-wise independence.
The Lyapunov criterion follows from

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\zeta^{(pq)}_{jk}\right)^4 |\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] \asymp 3\sum_{j\geq 1}\left(w^{p,q}_{jk}\right)^4 I_{jk}^{-2} \asymp 3\, I_k^{-4}\sum_{j\geq 1} I_{jk}^2 = \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \Rightarrow \quad &\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\zeta_k^n\right)^4 |\mathcal{G}_{(k-1)h_n}\right] = \mathcal{O}\Big(n\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n^4\Big) = o\left(n^{-1/4}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w^{p,q}_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(p)} \Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(q)} \Phi^2_{jk}(\bar{t}_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^n W^{(p)}\right] \\ &= h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w^{p,q}_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(p)} \Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(q)} \Delta_i^n W^{(p)}\right] \Phi^2_{jk}(\bar{t}_i)\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w_{jk}^{p,q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - t_{i-1})^{\frac{3}{2}} \Phi_{jk}^2(\bar{t}_i) = \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-1/4}\big)\,.$$

By the analogous estimate with $\Delta_i^n W^{(q)}$ the stability condition (J4) is valid. Condition (J5) is shown using a decomposition as in (57) above and can be verified in an analogous way. This proves stable convergence of the leading term to the limit given in Theorem 2.

The heart of the proof of Theorem 3 is the asymptotic theory for the leading term (64a), namely the analysis of the asymptotic variance-covariance structure. This is carried out in detail in Bibinger et al. (2014) for the idealized locally parametric experiment using bin-wise orthogonal transformation to a diagonal covariance structure. The only difference between our main term and the setup considered in Bibinger et al. (2014) is the Gaussianity of the noise component. Yet, in the deduction of the variance this only affects the terms with fourth noise moments where $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i^4] \neq 3\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i^2]$ in general. Above, we explicitly proved that the resulting remainder converges to zero for the one-dimensional estimator and this directly extends to the diagonal elements here. An intuitive heuristic reason why this holds is that the smoothed statistics are asymptotically still close to a normal distribution, though the normality which could have been used in Bibinger et al. (2014) does not hold here for fixed n in general. Based on the expressions of variances for cross products and squared spectral statistics above, coinciding their counterparts in the normal noise model when separating the remainder induced for the squares, we can pursue the asymptotics along the same lines as the proof of Corollary 4.3 in Bibinger et al. (2014). At this stage, we restrict to shed light on the connection between the expressions in (13) and the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix. Observe that $(A\otimes B)^\top = A^\top \otimes B^\top$ for matrices A, B, $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Z} = 2\mathcal{Z}$ and that $(A\otimes B)(C\otimes D) = (AC \otimes BD)$ for matrices A, B, C, D, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \big(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big)\mathcal{Z}\Big(\Big(\Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \big(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big)\mathcal{Z}\Big)^\top \\
&\quad = \Big(\Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \big(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big) 2\mathcal{Z}\Big(\Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \big(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big)^\top \\
&\quad = 2\Big(\Sigma_s \otimes \big(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\mathcal{Z}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{Z}$ commutes with $\Big(\Sigma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \big(\Sigma_s^{\mathcal{H}}\big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big)$. Therefore, the expression in (13) is natural for the matrix square root of the asymptotic variance-covariance, where we use two independent terms because of non-commutativity of matrix multiplication. Conditions (J1) and (J3) and the stability conditions (J4) and (J5) can be analogously shown by element-wise adopting the results for squared and cross products of spectral statistics from above. Since any component of the estimator is a weighted sum of the entries of $S_{jk}S_{jk}^\top$, bias-corrected on the diagonal, the convergences to zero in probability follow likewise.

6.4.3. **Remainder terms**

After applying triangular inequality to (64b), it suffices to prove that

$$n^{1/4} \| \mathbf{LMM}_{n,t}^{or}(Y) - \mathbf{LMM}_{n,t}^{or}(\bar{C} + \epsilon) \| \xrightarrow{ucp} 0\,, \tag{69}$$

$$n^{1/4} \left\| \int_0^t \operatorname{vec}\left(\Sigma_s - \Sigma_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1}\rfloor h_n}\right) ds \right\| \xrightarrow{ucp} 0\,. \tag{70}$$

For $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we use in the following several times the elementary bound:

$$\left\| AA^\top - BB^\top \right\| = \left\| B(A^\top - B^\top) + (A-B)A^\top \right\| \leq \big(\|A\| + \|B\|\big)\|A - B\|\,. \tag{71}$$

Define analogously as above $\tilde{S}_{jk} = \big(\sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \Delta_i^n \bar{C}^{(p)} \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_i^{(p)})\big)_{1\le p\le d}$, the spectral statistics in the locally constant volatility experiment. Then we can bound uniformly for all t:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \mathbf{LMM}_{n,t}^{or}(Y) - \mathbf{LMM}_{n,t}^{or}(\bar{C}+\epsilon)\| \\
&\le \sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}} h_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} W_{jk} \operatorname{vec}\left(S_{jk}S_{jk}^\top - \tilde{S}_{jk}\tilde{S}_{jk}^\top\right)\right\| \\
&\le \sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} \|W_{jk}\|\big(\|S_{jk}\| + \|\tilde{S}_{jk}\|\big)\|S_{jk} - \tilde{S}_{jk}\| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} \Big(1+\frac{j^2}{nh_n^2}\Big)^{-2} \|S_{jk} - \tilde{S}_{jk}\| = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\big(h_n\big) = o_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

what yields (69). We have used Lemma C.1 from Bibinger et al. (2014) for the magnitude of $\|W_{jk}\|$, the bound (71) and a bound for the sum over j, for which holds

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor -1} \Big(1+\frac{j^2}{nh_n^2}\Big)^{-2} \to \frac{\pi}{2}$$

by an analogous integral approximation as used in the limiting variance before. Drift terms and cross terms including the drift are asymptotically negligible and are handled similarly as before. Directly neglecting drift terms, we deduce $\|S_{jk} - \tilde{S}_{jk}\| = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(h_n)$ uniformly from $(S_{jk} - \tilde{S}_{jk})^{(p)} \asymp_p \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \Delta_i^n \tilde{C}^{(p)} \Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_i)$ with (46b). (70) is equivalent to

$$n^{1/4}\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{h_n^{-1}} \int_{(k-1)h_n}^{kh_n} \big(\Sigma_s - \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\big)\, ds \right\| \xrightarrow{ucp} 0\,. \tag{72}$$

Using the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_s - \Sigma_{(k-1)h_n} &= \sigma_s\sigma_s^\top - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^\top \\
&= (\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n})\sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^\top + \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}(\sigma_s^\top - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^\top) \\
&\quad + (\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n})(\sigma_s^\top - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}^\top)
\end{aligned}$$

for $s \in [(k-1)h_n, kh_n]$, it is easy to find that it suffices to bound terms $\|\sigma_s - \sigma_{(k-1)h_n}\|$. Then, Assumption (H-d) guarantees (72) and (70) in the same way as for the one-dimensional model.
For the spectral covolatility estimator (30a) we may conduct an analysis of the remainder similarly as in the proof of Proposition 4.2. One can as well employ integration by parts of Itô integrals after supposing again a synchronous observation design $t_i, i = 0,\dots,n$, possible according to Lemma 4.4:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_i^n\tilde{C}^{(p)}\Delta_i^n\tilde{C}^{(q)} - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \big(\Sigma_s^{(pq)} - \Sigma_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1}\rfloor h_n}^{(pq)}\big)ds \\
&\quad = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \big(\tilde{C}_s^{(p)} - \tilde{C}_{t_{i-1}}^{(p)}\big)d\tilde{C}_s^{(q)} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \big(\tilde{C}_s^{(q)} - \tilde{C}_{t_{i-1}}^{(q)}\big)d\tilde{C}_s^{(p)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

with $\tilde{C}$ approximation errors as in (63b). Consider the random variables

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{jk}^{(pq)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i\tilde{C}^{(p)}\Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_i) \sum_{v=1}^{n} \Delta_v\tilde{C}^{(q)}\Phi_{jk}(\bar{t}_v)\,,$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_k^n = h_n \sum_{j\geq 1} w_{jk}^{p,q} \tilde{\zeta}_{jk}^{(pq)} - \int_{kh_n}^{(k+1)h_n} \big(\Sigma_s^{(pq)} - \Sigma_{\lfloor sh_n^{-1}\rfloor h_n}^{(pq)}\big) ds\,.$$

Inserting (73) for $\Delta_i^n \tilde{C}^{(p)} \Delta_i^n \tilde{C}^{(q)}$, using $[\int Z\,dX, \int Z\,dX] = \int Z^2\, d[X,X]$ for Itô integrals and applying Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities and using the bound (46b) for $\mathbb{E}\big[\big(\Delta_i^n \tilde{C}^{(p)}\big)^2\big]$, $\mathbb{E}\big[\big(\Delta_i^n \tilde{C}^{(q)}\big)^2\big]$, it follows that $\mathbb{E}\big[\big(\tilde{\zeta}_k^n\big)^2\big] = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$. Bounds for cross terms with $\tilde{C}$ and $\bar{C}$ readily follow by standard estimates and we conclude our claim.

6.5. **Proofs for adaptive estimation**

We carry out the proof of Proposition 4.3 in the case $d = 1$ explicitly. We need to show that

$$n^{\frac{1}{4}} \left| \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t} - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(Y) \right| \xrightarrow{ucp} 0 \;\text{ as } n \to \infty\,. \tag{74}$$

Let us first act as if the noise level η was known and concentrate on the harder problem of analyzing the plug-in estimation of the instantaneous squared volatility process σ_t^2 in the weights. We have to bound

$$\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t} - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or}(Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor th_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor - 1} \big(\hat{w}_{jk} - w_{jk}\big)\Big(S_{jk}^2 - [\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n \frac{\eta^2}{n}\Big)\,,$$

uniformly with w_{jk} being the optimal oracle weights (22) and $\hat{w}_{jk}$ their adaptive estimates. We introduce a coarse grid of blocks of lengths r_n such that $r_n h_n^{-1} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, $r_n h_n^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$. We analyze the above difference in this double asymptotic framework, where the plug-in estimators are evaluated on the coarse grid first. Denoting the adaptive and oracle estimators with weights evaluated on the coarse grid by $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{c}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or,c}(Y)$, respectively, we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{c} - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}^{or,c}(Y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor tr_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{k=(m-1)r_n h_n^{-1}+1}^{mr_n h_n^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor - 1} \big(w_j(\hat{\sigma}^2_{(m-1)r_n}) - w_j(\sigma^2_{(m-1)r_n})\big)\, Z_{jk} \tag{75}$$

with $Z_{jk} = S_{jk}^2 - [\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n \eta^2/n - \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ where the weights are functions (independent of the bin k, as $[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n$ does not depend on k)

$$w_j(x) = \frac{\big(x + \frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n\big)^{-2}}{\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor - 1}\big(x + \frac{\eta^2}{n}[\varphi_{lk},\varphi_{lk}]_n\big)^{-2}}\,,$$

which are well-defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and satisfy $\sum w_j(x) = 1$. As σ is uniformly bounded from below and from above by Assumption (H-1) and Section 6.1, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that uniformly

$$w_j\left(\sigma_t^2\right) \lesssim w_j\left(C_1\right) \tag{76}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Based on the standard estimates (2), (3) as well as (46b), we may consider $\tilde{Z}_{jk} = \tilde{S}_{jk}^2 - [\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}]_n \eta^2/n - \sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ where the $\tilde{S}_{jk}$ are the statistics under locally parametric volatility and without drift, and the remainder is asymptotically negligible. Moreover, by subtracting $\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}$ in the definition of $\tilde{Z}_{jk}$ equation (50) shows that the $\tilde{Z}_{jk}$ are uncorrelated for different k. Hence, $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big(\sum_k \tilde{Z}_{jk}\big) = \sum_k \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(\tilde{Z}_{jk})$ and thus

$$\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big(\sum_k Z_{jk}\big) = \sum_k \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(Z_{jk}) + \mathcal{O}(1)\,. \tag{77}$$

We prove (74) in two steps. We show first that (75) is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})$ uniformly and then that the difference between estimating on the coarse and finer grid is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})$, as well.
The crucial property to ensure tightness of the adaptive approach is a uniform bound on the first derivatives of the weight functions: $w_j(x)$ is continuously differentiable with derivatives satisfying:

$$\left|w_j'(x)\right| \lesssim w_j(x)\log^2(n)\,. \tag{78}$$

To see why this holds set $c_j = \frac{\eta^2}{n}\left[\varphi_{jk},\varphi_{jk}\right]_n$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|w_j'(x)\right| &= \left|\frac{-2(x+c_j)^{-3}\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nh\rfloor-1}(x+c_m)^{-2} - (x+c_j)^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nh\rfloor-1}\left((-2)(x+c_m)^{-3}\right)}{\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nh\rfloor-1}(x+c_m)^{-2}\right)^2}\right| \\ &\le 2w_j(x)\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nh\rfloor-1}(x+c_m)^{-2}\left|(x+c_j)^{-1}-(x+c_m)^{-1}\right|}{\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor nh\rfloor-1}(x+c_m)^{-2}} \lesssim w_j(x)\log^2(n)\end{aligned}$$

for n sufficiently large. The last inequality follows from

$$\left|(x+c_j)^{-1}-(x+c_m)^{-1}\right| \le \frac{1}{c_j}+\frac{1}{c_m} \lesssim \frac{1}{c_1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\log^2(n)\right).$$

The plug-in estimator (23) satisfies $\|\hat\sigma^2-\sigma^2\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\delta_n)$ for the L^1-norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1}$ with a sequence $\delta_n\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, $\delta_n \lesssim n^{-1/8}$ for optimal window length on Assumption (H-1). Hence, by (76) $w_j(\hat\sigma^2_{(m-1)r_n} - w_j(\sigma^2_{(m-1)r_n}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(w_j(C_1)\delta_n\log^2(n))$. This, (77), Cauchy-Schwarz and (48) show that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{c}_{n,t} - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or,c}_{n,t}(Y)\right|\right] &\lesssim \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor tr_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}\left|w_j(\hat\sigma^2_{(m-1)r_n}) - w_j(\sigma^2_{(m-1)r_n})\right|\left|\sum_{k=(m-1)r_nh_n^{-1}+1}^{mr_nh_n^{-1}} Z_{jk}\right|\right] \\ &\lesssim \delta_n\left(\log^2(n)\right)\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor tr_n^{-1}\rfloor} h_n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1} w_j(C_1)\left(\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\Big(\sum_{k=(m-1)r_nh_n^{-1}+1}^{mr_nh_n^{-1}} Z_{jk}\Big)\right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{h_n}{r_n}}\delta_n\log^2 n + \mathcal{O}(1)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

The required order $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})$ for (75) is thus achieved if $r_n\to 0$ not too fast, i.e. $r_n^{-1}\lesssim n^{1/4}(\log n)^{-5}$. Consider the remainder by the difference of coarse and fine grid. Since for $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or,c}_{n,t}(Y)$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(Y)$ the statistics for each block k are uncorrelated, it is enough to bound the variance of the difference by

$$\sum_{m=1}^{r_n^{-1}}\sum_{k=(m-1)r_nh_n^{-1}+1}^{mr_nh_n^{-1}} h_n^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nh_n\rfloor-1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(w_j(\sigma^2_{(k-1)h_n}) - w_j(\sigma^2_{(m-1)r_n})\right)^2\tilde Z^2_{jk}\right]\right)^{\frac12}\right)^2 \lesssim h_nr_n\log^4(n)\,,$$

using (78) and (79). This shows that $|\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or,c}_{n,t}(Y) - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{or}_{n,t}(Y)| = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})$ uniformly. Exploiting the same ingredients as above we obtain likewise that $|\widehat{\mathbf{IV}}^{c}_{n,t} - \widehat{\mathbf{IV}}_{n,t}| = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/4})$ uniformly. In order to analyze the estimation error induced by pre-estimation of η^2, we can consider the weights as functions of η^2 and compute their derivatives. As η^2 does not depend on time and we have $|\hat\eta^2-\eta^2| =$

$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/2})$, a simpler computation yields that the pre-estimation of η^2 is of smaller order as the error by plug-in estimation of local volatilities. Thus, using triangle inequality we conclude (74).
The proofs that Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 extend from the oracle to the adaptive versions of the estimators (30a) and (33a) can be conducted in an analogous way. For covariation matrix estimation, the key ingredient is the uniform bound on the norm of the matrix derivative of the weight matrix function $W_j(\Sigma)$ w.r.t. Σ, which is a matrix with d^6 entries and requires a notion of matrix derivatives, see Lemma C.2 in Bibinger et al. (2014). The proof is then almost along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4.4 in Bibinger et al. (2014), with the only difference in the construction being that the Z_{jk} are not independent, but still have negligible correlations. The adaptivity in the proof of Theorem 4.4 of Bibinger et al. (2014) is proved under more delicate asymptotics of asymptotically separating sample sizes. For this reason, but at the same time not having the remainders, the restrictions on r_n are different there.

References

Abadir, K. M., Magnus, J. R., 2009. Matrix algebra, Vol. 1, Econometric Exercises. Cambridge University Press.

Aït-Sahalia, Y., Fan, J., Xiu, D., 2010. High-frequency estimates with noisy and asynchronous financial data. Journal of the American Statistical Association 105 (492), 1504–1516.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A., Shephard, N., 2008. Designing realised kernels to measure the ex-post variation of equity prices in the presence of noise. Econometrica 76 (6), 1481–1536.

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A., Shephard, N., 2011. Multivariate realised kernels: consistent positive semi-definite estimators of the covariation of equity prices with noise and non-synchronous trading. Journal of Econometrics 162 (2), 149–169.

Bibinger, M., 2011. Efficient covariance estimation for asynchronous noisy high-frequency data. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 38, 23–45.

Bibinger, M., Hautsch, N., Malec, P., Reiß, M., 2014. Estimating the quadratic covariation matrix from noisy observations: Local method of moments and efficiency. Annals of Statistics 42 (4), 1312–1346.

Bibinger, M., Reiß, M., 2014. Spectral estimation of covolatility from noisy observations using local weights. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 41 (1), 23–50.

Christensen, K., Podolskij, M., Vetter, M., 2013. On covariation estimation for multivariate continuous itô semimartingales with noise in non-synchronous observation schemes. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 120, 59–84.

Clément, E., Delattre, S., Gloter, A., 2013. An infinite dimensional convolution theorem with applications to the efficient estimation of the integrated volatility. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 123, 2500–2521.

Curci, G., Corsi, F., 2012. Discrete sine transform for multi-scales realized volatility measures. Quantitative Finance 12, 263–279.

Fukasawa, M., 2010. Realized volatility with stochastic sampling. Stochastic Processeses and their Applications 120, 209–233.

Gloter, A., Jacod, J., 2001. Diffusions with measurement errors 1 and 2. ESAIM Probability and Statistics 5, 225–242.

Hayashi, T., Yoshida, N., 2011. Nonsynchronous covariation process and limit theorems. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 121, 2416–2454.

Jacod, J., 1997. On continuous conditional gaussian martingales and stable convergence in law. Séminaire de Probabilitiés, 232–246.

Jacod, J., 2012. Statistics and high frequency data. Proceedings of the 7th Séminaire Européen de Statistique, La Manga, 2007: Statistical methods for stochastic differential equations, edited by M. Kessler, A. Lindner and M. Sørensen.

Jacod, J., Li, Y., Mykland, P. A., Podolskij, M., Vetter, M., 2009. Microstructure noise in the continous case: the pre-averaging approach. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 119, 2803–2831.

Jacod, J., Mykland, P. A., 2015. Microstructure noise in the continuous case: Approximate efficiency of the adaptive pre-averaging method. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 125, 2910–2936.

Jacod, J., Podolskij, M., Vetter, M., 2010. Limit Theorems for moving averages of discretized processes plus noise. Annals of Statistics 38, 1478–1545.

Li, J., Xiu, D., 2015. Generalized method of integrated moments for high-frequency data. Chicago Booth Research Paper No. 15-05, SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2560343.

Li, Y., Mykland, P., Renault, E., Zhang, L., Zheng, X., 2014. Realized volatility when sampling times are possibly endogenous. Econometric Theory 30 (03), 580–605.

Podolskij, M., Vetter, M., 2010. Understanding limit theorems for semimartingales: a short survey. Statistica Neerlandica 64 (3), 329–351.

Reiß, M., 2011. Asymptotic equivalence for inference on the volatility from noisy observations. Annals of Statistics 39 (2), 772–802.

Renault, E., Sarisoy, C., Werker, B. J. M., 2015. Efficient estimation of integrated volatility and related processes. SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2293570.

Xiu, D., 2010. Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation of volatility with high frequency data. Journal of Econometrics 159, 235–250.

Zhang, L., 2006. Efficient estimation of stochastic volatility using noisy observations: A multi-scale approach. Bernoulli 12 (6), 1019–1043.

Zhang, L., Mykland, P. A., Aït-Sahalia, Y., 2005. A tale of two time scales: Determining integrated volatility with noisy high-frequency data. Journal of the American Statistical Association 100 (472), 1394–1411.

Zhou, B., 1998. F-consistency, de-volatization and normalization of high frequency financial data. In: Dunis, C., Zhou, B. (Eds.), Nonlinear Modelling of High Frequency Financial Time Series. John Wiley Sons Ltd., pp. 109–123.